



IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

(CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. /2026)
(@SLP (CRL.) NO. 8559/2026)

SACHIN JAIN

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF CHHATTISGARH

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

1. Heard.
2. Leave granted.
3. Appellant who is arraigned as an accused No. 6 in FIR No. 30/2026 registered at Police Station Ganj, District Raipur for the offence punishable under Sections 112(2) of Bharatiya Nyay Sanhita, 2023 and Section 7 of the Chhattisgarh Gambling (Prohibition) Act, 2022, is seeking for being enlarged on bail.
4. Prosecution allege that during a raid conducted on 31.01.2026, six accused persons were found

operating online betting through two different websites resulting in recovery of an amount of Rs. 37,50,000/- (Thirty Seven Lakhs and Fifty Thousands Only) lakhs, multiple mobile phones and vehicles and an amount of Rs. 6,00,000/- (six lakhs) and one mobile phone were allegedly recovered from the appellant.

5. The trial Court and the High Court rejected the bail primarily on the ground of seriousness of organized online gambling, recovery of cash and mobile phones alleged incriminating telephone chats and the appellant's prior gambling related criminal antecedents. Hence, appellant is before this Court.

6. Perusal of the records would indicate that co-accused Piyush Jain and Kamal Raghvani were granted regular bail and we do not find that appellant is on parity with the said accused. However, the material collected during the course of the investigation attributable to the appellant will have to be established by the prosecution

during the course of the trial. Chargesheet has been filed on completion of investigation. Appellant would not be required for any further investigation. Having regard to his period of custody from 31.01.2026. We are of the considered view, that the appellant is entitled for being enlarged on bail.

7. Hence, we allow this appeal, set aside the impugned order and direct the appellant to be released on regular bail on such terms and conditions as the jurisdictional trial Court may impose.

8. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J
[ARAVIND KUMAR]

.....J
[PRASANNA B. VARALE]

New Delhi;
26 May, 2026.

ITEM NO.56

COURT NO.15

SECTION II-C

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.)
No(s). 8559/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order
dated 23-04-2026 in MCRC No. 3703/2026 passed by
the High Court of Chhatisgarh at Bilaspur]

SACHIN JAIN

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF CHHATTISGARH

Respondent(s)

[IA No. 142085/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF
THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT, IA No. 142086/2026-
EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T., IA No. 143817/2026-
EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T. and IA No. 143816/2026
-PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/
FACTS/ANNEXURES]

Date : 26-05-2026 This matter was called on for
hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARAVIND KUMAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRASANNA B. VARALE

For Petitioner(s) :Mr. Gaurav Agrawal, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Siddhartha Iyer, AOR
Mr. Amit Soni, Adv.
Mr. Aman Gupta, Adv.

**For Respondent(s) :Mr. Rishab Sahu, DAG
Mr. Apoorv Shukla, AOR
Ms. Prabhleen A. Shulka, Adv
Ms. Hema Sahu, Adv
Ms. Ilashri Gaur, Adv**

**UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R**

- 1. Leave granted.**
- 2. The Criminal Appeal is allowed in terms of the signed order which is placed on the file.**
- 3. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.**

**(DEEPANSHU)
SENIOR PERSONAL ASSISTANT**

**(AVGV RAMU)
COURT MASTER (NSH)**