

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

IA No.376 In WRIT PETITION (Civil) NO. 13381 OF 1984

M.C. MEHTA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(for directions)

WITH

IA No.404 and 431 in WP 13381/84

Date: 14/3/2005 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RUMA PAL  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.B.SINHA  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.H.KAPADIA

For Petitioner(s)

Mr.M.C.Mehta  
Petitioner-In-Person  
  
Mr. Krishan Mahajan,Adv.(A.C.)  
  
Mr. Ajay Kumar Aggarwal,adv.  
Ms. Alka Aggarwal,adv.  
Ms. Anamika Agarwal,adv.

For Respondent(s)  
For Govt. of U.P.

Mr. Ashok Desai,Sr.Adv.  
Mr. Virendra Bhatia,Sr.adv./A.G.for State of U.P.  
Mr. S.W.A.Qadri,Adv.  
Mr. Kamlendra Misra,Adv.  
Mr. Rajeev Kumar Dubey,Adv.  
Ms. Rashmi Singh,adv.  
Mr. R.K.Singh,adv.  
Ms.Savitri Pandey,adv.

For UOI

Mr. G.E.Vahanvati,SGI.  
Mr. D.Kamat,adv.  
Mr. A.D.N.Rao,Adv.

-2-

Mr. A.Sharan,ASG.  
Mr. Hemant Sharma,Adv.  
Ms. Sushma Suri,adv.

For P.L.Punia

Mr. Rakesh Dwivedi,Sr.Adv.  
Mr. Gaurav,Adv.  
Mr. K.K.Mohan,adv.  
  
Mr. L.N.Rao,Sr.Adv.  
Ms. Binu Tamta,adv.

For ASI

Mr. A.D.N.Rao,Adv.  
Ms. Rita Gupta,adv.

Mr. K.Ramesh,adv.

For CISF

Mr.Samir Ali Khan,Adv.  
Mr.Amit Anand Tiwari,Adv.  
Ms.Sushma Suri,Adv.  
Mr. B.V.Balram Das,Adv.  
Mr. P.Parmeswaran,adv.

For CPCB

Mr.Vijay Panjwani,adv.  
  
Mr. P.P.Malhotra,Sr.Adv.  
Mr. Hemant Sharma,Adv.  
Mr. A.Poddar,adv.  
Mr. Vineet Malhotra,Adv.  
Mrs.Anil Katiyar,Adv.  
Mrs. R.Mehrotra,adv.  
  
Mr.Santosh Kumar,Adv.  
Mr.Chandra Kant Nayak,Adv.  
Mr.A. Dipankar,adv.

Mr. S.C.Misra,Sr.Adv.  
Mr. S.K.Dwivedi,Adv.  
Mr. G.V.Rao,Adv.

For ANN

Mr. Sumannt Bhardwaj,Adv.  
Mr. B.Adhikari,adv.  
Ms. Mridula Bhardwaj,Adv.

For ADA

Mr. Ashok K.Srivastava,Adv.  
Mr. S.Trivedi,Adv.

Mr. Pradeep Misra,adv.

For Haryana

Mr. Ajay Siwach,Adv.  
Mr. T.V.George,Adv.

-3-

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

In IA No.376 & 431

Copies of the letter dated 28.3.2005  
written on behalf of the Central Vigilance  
Commission be made available to all the appearing  
parties. In the letter, the Central Vigilance  
Commission has intimated that they would be in a  
position to submit their recommendations in terms of  
the earlier order passed by this Court on or before

9.5.2005. Additionally, submission has been made that Sh. Neeraj Nayan, DIG, CBI who supervised the investigation of the case should not be repatriated to his parent cadre. The learned counsel appearing for the CBI seeks time to obtain necessary instructions on the second prayer. In the meanwhile, orders of repatriation, if any, in respect of Shri Neeraj Nayan shall not be given effect to.

The commission shall submit its report to

this Court on or before 9.5.2005. These I.As. will be listed thereafter.

IA No.404

This I.A. Is adjourned to await the report of the Central Vigilance Commission.

-4-

All the environmental matters which were delinked earlier be listed after summer vacation.

[SUMAN WADHWA]  
COURT MASTER

[MADHU SAXENA]  
COURT MASTER