

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL.) NO(s). 913 OF 2010

SAMIKSHA VARSHNEY Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

NITIN RAJ GUPTA Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for stay and office report)

Date: 22/11/2010 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.V. RAVEENDRAN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATNAIK

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Anil Kaushik, Adv.
Ms. Mary Mitzy, Adv.
Mr. G.S. Chauhan, Adv.
Mr. Shiv Prakash Pandey, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Ajay Singh, Adv.
Mr. Ankur Saigal, Adv.
Ms. Bina Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Gaurav Singh, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Transfer petition is allowed in terms of the signed order. MC No.1143/2010 titled Nitin Raj Gupta Vs. Samiksha Varshney pending before Court of Principal Family Judge, Bangalore (Karnataka) is ordered to be transferred to the Family Court at Lucknow, U.P.

(Ravi P. Verma) (M.S. Negi)
Court Master Court Master
[Signed order is placed on the file]
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

TRANSFER PETITION(C) NO. 913 OF 2010

SAMIKSHA VARSHNEYPETITIONER

Versus

NITIN RAJ GUPTARESPONDENT

O R D E R

This petition is filed by the wife for transfer of a divorce petition under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 filed by the husband from the Family Court, Bangalore to Family Court, Lucknow. The petitioner alleges that she has no source of income; that there is nobody in the family who would accompany her to Lucknow to defend the petition.

2. On the facts and circumstances, we consider this a fit case for transfer.

3. Accordingly, transfer petition is allowed. MC No.1143/2010 titled Nitin Raj Gupta Vs. Samiksha Varshney pending before Court of Principal Family Judge, Bangalore (Karnataka) is ordered to be transferred to the Family Court at Lucknow, U.P.

.....J.
(R.V. RAVEENDRAN)

New Delhi;
November 22, 2010.

.....J.
(A.K. PATNAIK)