

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).2 8 4 8 9 / 2 0 0 8

(From the judgement and order dated 08 / 0 8 / 2 0 0 8 in L P A No. 5 1 4 / 2 0 0 8
of the HIGH COUR T OF PA T N A)

VIN DH W A S H I N I D E V I & AN R.

Petitioner(s)

VER SUS

STA T E BAN K OF INDI A & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With prayer for interim relief)

Date: 05 / 0 1 / 2 0 0 9 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON' B L E MR. JUS T I C E R.V. RAV E E N D R A N

HON' B L E MR. JUS T I C E J.M. PA NC H A L

For Petitioner(s) Mr. S.B. Sanyal, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Subhro Sanyal, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

No ground is made out for interference under Article 13 6 of
the Constitution of India. The special leave petition is dismissed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner voices an apprehension that having regard to the time limit of six months for application for relief, under the new Scheme his claim may be rejected. There is no basis for such an apprehension. The new Scheme makes it clear that all pending applications will be considered and disposed of under the new Scheme. In fact, the High Court has specifically directed the Ban k to consider the case of the petitioners under the new Scheme for payment of ex-gratia lumpsum amount and communicate the decision. Therefore, there is no basis for such apprehension. They can approach the bank for appropriate decision on the application.

(Ravi P. Verm a)
Court Master(Anand Singh)
Court Master