

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

T R A N S F E R P E T I T I O N (CIV I L.) NO(s). 1115 OF 2008

N E H A @ N E H A L T U S H A R S H A H (G A D I Y A) Petitioner(s)

V E R S U S

T U S H A R N A R E S H B H A I S H A H (G A D I Y A) Respondent(s)
(With appln(s) for stay and office report)

Date: 09/02 / 2 009 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

C O R A M :

H O N ' B L E M R. J U S T I C E A L T A M A S K A B I R
H O N ' B L E M R. J U S T I C E C Y R I A C J O S E P H

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Rana Mu k herjee,Adv.
Mr. Siddharth Gautam,Adv.
Mr. Goodwill Indeevar,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

U P O N hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The Transfer Petition is allowed in terms of the signed order.

(Ganga Thakur)
P.S. to Registrar

(Juginder Kaur)
Court Master

Signed order is placed on the file.

I N T H E S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
C I V I L O R I G I N A L J U R I S D I C T I O N

T R A N S F E R P E T I T I O N (C) NO.1115 OF 2008

N E H A @ N E H A L T U S H A R S H A H (G A D I Y A L) ..P E T I T I O N E R (S)

V e r s u s

T U S H A R N A R E S H B H A I S H A H (G A D I Y A L) ..R E S P O N D E N T
(S)

O R D E R

Despite service of notice, the respondent does not appear
to oppose the petition for transfer filed on behalf of wife.

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the
grounds as set out in the Transfer Petition, we are of the view

that a case has been made out for allowing the petition.

Accordingly, Divorce Case, being H M P No. 42 of 2008 (Sri Tushar Nareshbhai Shah Vs. Smt. Neha Tushar Shah), pending in the court of learned Senior Civil Judge, Navsari, Gujarat, be transferred to the District Court at Bandra, Mumbai, for assigning the case to the Family Court having competent jurisdiction.

The Transfer Petition is allowed.

(ALTA M A S K A B I R)J.
.....j.
(CY R I A C JOSE P H)

New Delhi,
February 09, 2009.