

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

TRANSFER PETITION(C)NO.1064 OF 2008

KANCHAN DEVI

... PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

VINOD CHANDRA RAMOLA

... RESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner. Though the respondent was served with a notice, but he has not chosen to enter appearance.

The respondent-husband has filed a suit being O.S.No.63/2007 for divorce before the Family Court at Rishikesh in Uttarakhand. As the petitioner-wife is staying at Delhi, she prays that the matter be transferred to the Family Court at Delhi. Accordingly, O.S.No.63/2007 on the file of the Family Court at Rishikesh (Uttarakhand) is directed to be transferred to the Family Court at Delhi. All the records be sent to the Family Court at Delhi by the Family Court at Rishikesh.

The Transfer Petition is allowed accordingly.

.....CJI
(K.G. BALAKRISHNAN)

.....J.
(B.N.AGRAWAL)

NEW DELHI;
9TH OCTOBER, 2009
ITEM NO.37

COURT NO.1

SECTION XVIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL.) NO(s). 1064 OF 2008

KANCHAN DEVI

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

VINOD CHANDRA RAMOLA

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for stay and office report)

Date: 09/10/2009 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.N. AGRAWAL

For Petitioner(s) Ms.Madhusmita Bora, Adv.
For Mr. Balaji Srinivasan,Adv. (SCLSC)

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner. Though the respondent was served with a notice, but he has not chosen to enter appearance.

The Transfer Petition is allowed, in terms of the signed order.

(G.V.Ramana)
Court Master

(Mentioned slip enclosed)

(Veera Verma)
Court Master