

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS  
I.A. NO.5 OF 2012  
IN  
ORIGINAL SUIT NO.3 OF 2009

STATE OF HARYANA

Plaintiff/Applicant

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB &amp; ORS.

Defendants/Respondents

(For interim relief/directions and office report)

Date: 15/03/2013 This application was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRAMAULI KR. PRASAD  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V. GOPALA GOWDA

For Plaintiff(s)/  
Applicant(s) Mr. Gopal Subramaniam, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Vinod A. Bobde, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. H.S. Hooda, AG (Haryana)  
Mr. K.K. Lahiri, Adv.  
Mr. Ejaz Maqbool, Adv.  
Mr. Shivendra Dwivedi, Adv.  
Ms. Tanima Kishore, Adv.  
Ms. Kamna Sagar, Adv.

Mr. Manjit Singh, AAG (Haryana)  
Mrs. Vivekta Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Tarjit Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Anil Antil, Adv.  
Mr. Kamal Mohan Gupta, Adv.

For Defendant(s)/  
Respondent(s) Mr. Harish N. Salve, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. R. S. Suri, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Jagjit Singh Chhabra, Adv.  
Mr. Vinay K. Shailandra, Adv.

Mr. Yashraj Singh Deora, Adv.  
Ms. Anupama Dhruve, Adv.  
Mr. Sarwa Mitter, Adv.  
For M/S Mitter & Mitter Co.

Mr. Mohan Jain, ASG  
Mr. H. Chandra, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. W.S.A. Quardri, Adv.  
Mr. D.K. Thakur, Adv.  
Ms. Manmeet Kaur, Adv.  
Mr. D.S. Mahra, Adv.

Dr. Manish Singhvi, AAG (Rajasthan)  
Mr. D.K. Devesh, Adv.  
Mr. Irshad Ahmad, Adv.

Mr. Arvind Kumar Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Sunil Fernandes, Adv.  
Ms. Astha Sharma, Adv.  
Ms. Insha Mir, Adv.

Mr. Virender Kumar Sharma, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

I.A. No.5/2012 in Original Suit No.3/2009

Various interim reliefs have been sought for in the present interlocutory application concerning the execution of the work of Shahpur Kandi Dam Project.

Mr. Gopal Subramaniam, learned Senior Counsel appearing on behalf of the State of Haryana, states that the State of Haryana is prepared to contribute its share i.e. Rs.480 crores, in the execution of the aforesaid project. In case the State of Haryana does so, it shall be at the discretion of the State of Punjab to accept or not to accept the same.

However, this will have no bearing in the ultimate decision of the Original Suit No.3 of 2009.

I.A. No.5 of 2012 is disposed of accordingly.

List the Original Suit after two weeks for further directions.

| (Sanjay Kumar)

Court Master |

(Indu Satija)

| Court Master |