



ITEM NO.48

COURT NO.5

SECTION II-E

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.)
No(s). 8488/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order
dated 29-04-2026 in CRA No. 1651/2016 passed by
the High Court of Madhya Pradesh Principal Seat at
Jabalpur]

AMIT

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH

Respondent(s)

FOR ADMISSION

Date : 25-05-2026 This matter was called on for
hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M. SUNDRESH

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NONGMEIKAPAM KOTISWAR SINGH

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Sidharth Luthra, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Sidharth Dave, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Vikas Upadhyay, AOR
Mr. Ranveer Singh, Adv.
Mr. Shrey Diwan, Adv.
Mr. Sahil Billa, Adv.
Ms. Samriddhi Rai, Adv.
Ms. Anjali Dixit, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Harmeet Singh Ruprah, AOR
Mr. Surjeet Singh Ga, Adv.
Mr. Karan Singh, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the
following
O R D E R

Leave granted.

The appellant and the other accused were acquitted for the offences punishable under Sections 148, 307/149 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 27 of the Arms Act, 1959. However, on an appeal preferred by the State, the same was partly allowed by the High Court and the appellant herein alone was convicted under Section 307 of the IPC and sentenced to rigorous imprisonment for five years, while affirming the acquittal qua other accused.

We have heard the learned counsel appearing for the parties.

We are dealing with a case of reversal.

Considering the above, we are inclined to suspend the sentence of the appellant and grant him bail, during the pendency of the appeal.

Accordingly, the sentence of the appellant is suspended and he is granted bail, during the pendency of the appeal, on terms and conditions, subject to the satisfaction of the concerned Trial Court.

(ASHA SUNDRIYAL)
DEPUTY REGISTRAR

(POONAM VAID)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR