

ITEM NO.50

COURT NO.5

SECTION II

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl) No(s).7030/2008

(From the judgement and order dated 30/07/2008 in CRLMA No.
6329/2008 of The HIGH COURT OF U.P AT LUCKNOW)

RAJESH KUMAR SINGH

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF U.P. & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(Office report on default dated 07.09.09)

Date: 09/09/2009 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALTAMAS KABIR
(IN CHAMBERS)

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Anurag Singh, Adv.
Mr. Arvind Kumar Gupta, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Akhilesh Kumar Pandey, Adv.
No.2 Mr. Sudhanshu Saran, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Seen the Office Report which is dated both 23rd June,
2009 and 7th September, 2009. Obviously, the said note was
prepared on 23rd June, 2009, at a point of time when the spare

copies of the Special leave Petition had not been filed.
Subsequently, the said copies were filed on 19th August, 2009,
but the same has not been taken note of by the Registry and
mechanically the date of the Office Report has been altered to
read 7th September, 2009. This is a practice which is not
appreciated and the Registry will do well to see that this
kind of report is not submitted henceforth. Since there has
been due compliance of the order for filing of the spare
copies, no further orders need be passed as far as that aspect
of the matter is concerned. However, petitioner still has to
comply with the directions regarding dasti service on
respondent no.1.

[Hemalatha Mohan]
Sr.P.A.

[Om Parkash]
Court Master