

ITEM NO.37

COURT NO.8

SECTION XI

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No.17012/2026

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 01-05-2026 in WRIT-A No.8070/2024 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Allahabad]

U.P. SUBORDINATE SERVICES SELECTION COMMISSION

Petitioner

VERSUS

SATYENDRA YADAV & ORS.

Respondents

I.A. No.144951/2026-EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT

I.A. No.144948/2026-EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

Date : 20-05-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DIPANKAR DATTA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASHI

For Petitioner(s) :Mr. P. S. Patwalia, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Talha Abdul Rahman, AOR
Mr. Siddharth Singhal, Adv.
Mr. Sudhanshu Tewari, Adv.
Ms. Deveshi Chand, Adv.
Mr. Faizan Ahmed, Adv.
Mr. Shuktiz Sinha, Adv.

For Respondent(s) :Ms. Kaveeta Wadia, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Shashank Tripathi, AOR
Mr. Nitin Nautiyal, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. The order impugned in this special leave petition is an interim order dated 01st May, 2026 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Allahabad. By such order, the High Court permitted the respondents to write the examination scheduled to be conducted on 03rd May, 2026, by the petitioner-Commission. It

was also observed in the said order that the result of the respondents shall, however, not be declared without the leave of the court.

2. We find from paragraph 6 of the order that the High Court desired the writ petition to be listed on 15th May 2026.

3. We are informed by Mr. Patwalia, learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioner-Commission, that within the short time available at the disposal of the petitioner-Commission, the respondents could not be issued admit cards to write the examination on 3rd May 2026. He has, however, raised serious objections with regard to the eligibility of the respondents to write the examination.

4. We need not examine any of the contentions raised by Mr. Patwalia or by Ms. Kaveeta Wadia, learned senior counsel appearing for the respondents at this stage in view of the order we propose to pass.

5. For whatever reason, it is an admitted fact that the respondents did not get the opportunity to write the examination on 03rd May, 2026, in terms of the directions contained in the impugned order.

6. That situation cannot be reversed, immediately; however, to balance the rights and interests of the parties, we request the High Court to decide the petition(s), as early as possible, preferably within September 2026.

7. Till such time the High Court decides the writ petition finally, results of the examination held on 3rd May 2026 shall not be declared by the petitioner-Commission.

8. We record that the parties have agreed to cooperate with the High Court to facilitate early hearing and decision of the writ petition.

9. In view of the fact that the fate of several examinees would hang in the

balance, we also request the High Court not to entertain any unnecessary request for adjournment if made by any of the parties before it.

10. Needless to record, in the event the respondents (174 petitioners before the High Court) succeed, Mr. Patwalia has fairly conceded that a supplementary examination will be conducted only for them and not for others.

11. The special leave petition is, accordingly, disposed of on the aforesaid terms.

12. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(RASHMI DHYANI PANT)
ASST. REGISTRAR-CUM-PS

(SUDHIR KUMAR SHARMA)
COURT MASTER (NSH)