



IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL No.2586/2026

[@SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CRL.) No.9123/2026]

JASPREET SINGH GAREWAL

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF U.P.

Respondent (s)

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.

2. The appellant herein has sought deletion of condition No.4 in the bail order dated 10.06.2020 which permits entry of the appellant in district Bareilly only on the date fixed in the trial. A similar condition was imposed in the bail order of the co-accused which was challenged before this Court. This Court set aside the said condition vide order dated 19.09.2025 passed in Miscellaneous Application Diary No.29861/2025 filed by co-accused Taranpreet Garewal @ Dimpal. Having regard to the above, we do not propose to issue notice to the respondent as it would serve no useful purpose.

3. The order dated 19.09.2025 passed on the petition of co-accused is reproduced below:

"1. Delay condoned.

2. The applicant seeks modification of the order dated 04.11.2019 to the extent it imposes a condition on the applicant that he shall remain

outside Bareilly and enter the district only on the date fixed in the Court.

3. The contention of the learned Counsel for the applicant is that the aforesaid condition was imposed to protect the witnesses who were to depose in support of the prosecution and since statements of those prosecution witnesses have already been recorded and the trial is at the stage of final hearing, the said condition has served out its purpose and, therefore, there is no justification for it to continue.

4. The learned Counsel appearing for the informant has opposed deletion of the condition on the ground that applicant has not co-operated in the disposal of the trial. However, he does not dispute that statement of all prosecution witnesses has been recorded in the trial.

5. Having regard to the fact that statement of all prosecution witnesses has already been recorded and the trial is at the stage of arguments, we are of the view that condition No. 4, as imposed in the bail granting order dated 04.11.2019, has served out its purpose and, therefore, it is no longer required.

6. Consequently, I.A No. 137522/2025 is allowed. Condition No. 4 imposed upon the applicant in the order dated 04.11.2019 shall stand deleted.

7. The Miscellaneous Application shall stand dispose of."

4. In view of the earlier order dated 19.09.2025, the condition No.4 in the bail order of the appellant dated 10.06.2020 is hereby quashed on the same grounds as stated in the order dated 19.09.2025.

5. The appeal stands disposed of, accordingly.

6. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

..... J.
[MANOJ MISRA]

..... J.
[VIPUL M. PANCHOLI]

NEW DELHI;
18TH MAY 2026.

ITEM NO.18

COURT NO.12

SECTION II

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No.9123/2026

JASPREET SINGH GAREWAL

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF U.P.

Respondent(s)

IA No. 150730/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED
JUDGMENT

IA No. 150725/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

Date : 18-05-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ MISRA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIPUL M. PANCHOLI

For Appellant(s) :

Mr. Divyesh Pratap Singh, AOR

Mr. Bharat Mishra, Adv.

Ms. Tiwari Prashantipriya Awadesh, Adv.

Ms. Shivangi Singh, Adv.

For Respondent(s) : Ms. Sakshi K., Adv.*

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

1. Leave granted.
2. The appeal is disposed of in terms of the signed order.
3. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(HARPREET KAUR)
COURT MASTER (SH)

(SAPNA BANSAL)
COURT MASTER (NSH)

(Signed order is placed on the file)

(*appearance not given)