

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I.A.NO.4 IN CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 6733 OF 2008

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR S.G. SHAH

FORBES GOKAK LIMITED

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

M/S. SHAKUMBHRI EXPORTS & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for clarification of court's order and office report)

Date: 19/07/2012 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

For Appellant(s)

M/S Rajinder Narain & Co.,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr Piyush Kant Roy, Adv.
Mr. M.C. Dhingra,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

The ld. Advocate for the applicant says that registry has not given them dasti notices and therefore, he could not file outcome of dasti service. Order dated 16.8.2011 states that "Issue notice on IA No.4/2011 returnable within two weeks hence. Liberty is given to the ld. Advocate for the applicant-respondent to serve the ld. Counsel for the appellant in the meantime".

Thereafter matter was listed on 2.4.2012 when time was granted to file proper disclosure of service till 17.4.2012 when detailed order was passed and time was again granted to comply with the Court's order dated 16.8.2011 on

-2-

Item No.1

or before 11.5.2012. On 11.5.2012 again time was granted to comply with the order dated 16.8.2011. In view of such fact, since the concerned applicant is aware that they have to serve notice to the concerned Advocate for the other side and when notice on I.A.No.4 was issued on 23.8.2011 and forwarded to the concerned Advocate, now no further time can be granted on such count. Such application may be listed before the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers for non-prosecution.

|
|

|
|

|(S.G.SHAH)
|REGISTRAR

|
|

hj