

3/ Bank of Baroda. The instant appeal is filed by the appellant herein (accused No. 14) challenging this order of the High Court dismissing quashing petition *qua* him.

On 07.01.2005, while issuing notice, this Court had granted interim stay of the impugned order. The effect thereof is that no further proceedings have been conducted by the Trial Court. This is, thus, an old matter and no purpose would be served in continuing the proceedings. We may also record that insofar as the order of the High Court quashing proceedings against petitioner Nos. 1 to 12 therein (accused Nos. 1-10, 12 and 13) are concerned, the same was challenged by the complainant by filing special leave petition which was granted. However, the appeal was ultimately dismissed for non-prosecution in the year 2016. This conduct of the complainant also shows that he is not interested in prosecuting these proceedings. No one has appeared for respondent No. 1 today as well.

We, thus, allow this appeal and quash the proceedings arising out of Criminal Complaint No. 720 of 2003 *qua* the appellant as well.

....., J.
[A.K. SIKRI]

New Delhi;
July 13, 2017.

....., J.
[ASHOK BHUSHAN]

ITEM NO.104

COURT NO.7

SECTION II

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Criminal Appeal No(s). 1704/2010

S.K. SUVARNA

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

HEMAN KUMAR TAURANI & ORS.

Respondent(s)

Date : 13-07-2017 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. SIKRI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK BHUSHAN

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Aayush Agarwala, Adv.
Mr. Anuj P. Agarwala, Adv.
Mr. Pramod B. Agarwala, AOR

For Respondent(s)

Mr. S. Udaya Kumar Sagar, AOR
Mr. Mrityunjai Singh, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The appeal is allowed in terms of the signed order.

(NIDHI AHUJA)
COURT MASTER

(MALA KUMARI SHARMA)
COURT MASTER

[Signed order is placed on the file.]