

ITEM NO.19

COURT NO.10

SECTION XI

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I.A. Nos.6 & 7 in CIVIL APPEAL NO. 8882 OF 2011

STATE OF U.P.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

ASHOK KUMAR KAMANI & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(For impleadment & exemption from filing O.T. and office report

Date: 10/03/2014 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE V. GOPALA GOWDA

(In Chambers)

For Appellant(s) Mr. T.N. Singh,Adv.
Mr. Atif Suhrawardy,Adv.
Mr. Abhish Kumar,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Manoj Kumar Dwivedi,Adv.
Mr. Sanjay Kumar Visen,Adv.

Ms. Garima Prashad,Adv.

Mr. Ritesh Agrawal,Adv.

Mr. Deepak Goel,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Heard the learned counsel for the applicant and perused the application for impleadment. As could be seen from the averments made in the application for impleadment, the applicant Gorakhpur Development Authority is neither proper nor necessary party to these proceedings. Learned counsel for the applicant is unable to show documentary evidence in support of his claim that possession of the land in question was vested in the State Government by declaration under Section 10(3) of the Repeal Act, following which the possession was taken by the State Government after following the procedure under sections 10(5) and 10(6) of the repealed Urban Land Ceiling Act, and thereafter the State Government has transferred the land in its favour. Application for impleadment is rejected.

(A.S. BISHT)
A.R.-CUM-P.S.

(S.S.R. KRISHNA)
COURT MASTER