

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
REVIEW PETITION (C) NO. 1319 OF 2006

IN

C.A. No. 2791-93 OF 2002

N.NALLAMUTHU & ORS.PETITIONERS

VERSUS

K.MADALAIMUTHU & ORS.RESPONDENTS

WITH

R.P.(C) NO.D 3368/2007 IN C.A.No.2791-2793/2002

STATE OF TAMIL NADU & ANR.PETITIONERS

VERSUS

K.MADALAIMUTHURESPONDENT

O R D E R

REVIEW PETITION (C) NO. 1319 OF 2006 IN C.A. No. 2791-93 OF 2002

Delay condoned.

For the purpose of disposing of the Review Petition, we have carefully looked into the Review Petition as well as all the connected papers of the Civil Appeals.

The main ground taken in this Review Petition is that the petitioners, who were contesting respondents before the courts below, have not been served with notice of the Civil Appeals and that the judgment in respect of which review is sought had been passed behind their backs.

We have gone through the Office Report relating to service of notice of appeals on the respective respondents and from the Office Report dt.17.02.2006, it is clear that all the respondents, including the petitioners in the Review Petition, had been duly served. In order to make things more clear, we record that at the time of hearing of the Civil Appeals, respondent No.1 had been represented by Mr.V.G.Pragasam, learned advocate and the respondent Nos.4, 5, 8 and 21 were represented by Mr.K.K.Mani, advocate. The respondent Nos.10, 13, 14, 16 and 19 had been deleted from the array of parties by Court's Order dt.13th August, 2002. The respondent Nos.2, 3, 6, 7 and 9, 11, 12, 15, 17, 18 and 20 had been duly served with the notice but no one has entered appearance so far at the time of hearing.

For the reasons aforesaid, we see no merit in the Review Petition and the same is accordingly dismissed.

R.P.(C) NO.D 3368/2007 IN C.A.No.2791-2793/2002

Delay condoned.

This Review Petition has been filed by the State of Tamil Nadu and the Inspector General of Registration, Government of Tamil Nadu with the intention of reopening all the issues which have been finally decided. We see no merit in the petition and the same is accordingly dismissed.

.....J.

(Dr.AR.LAKSHMANAN)

.....J.

(ALTAMAS KABIR)

NEW DELHI ;

FEBRUARY 20, 2007.

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Review Petition (Civil) No.1319/2006 In C.A.No.2791-93/2002

N.NALLAMUTHU & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

K.MADALAIMUTHU & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing review petition, exemption from filing c/c of the impugned Judgment and office report)

With

R.P.(C) No.D 3368/2007 In C.A.No.2791-2793/2002

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing review petition, stay, oral arguments and office report)

Date: 20/02/2007 These Petitions were listed in Chambers today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE Dr. JUSTICE AR. LAKSHMANAN

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALTAMAS KABIR

By Circulation

UPON perusing papers the Court made the following

O R D E R

Review Petition (Civil) No.1319/2006 In C.A.No.2791-93/2002

Delay condoned.

5

The Review Petition is dismissed in terms of the signed order.

R.P.(C) No.D 3368/2007 In C.A.No.2791-2793/2002

Delay condoned.

The Review Petition is dismissed in terms of the signed order.

(Satish K. Yadav)

Court Master

(Phoolan Wati Arora)

Court Master

(Singed order is placed on the file)