

REGISTRAR COURT. 2

SECTION IX

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR MR. SANJAY PARIHAR

Civil Appeal No(s). 7227/2009

BUNKERING BROKERS LIMITED THROUGH ITS CONSTITUTED ATTORNEY
Appellant(s)

VERSUS

M.V.LAXMI THROUGH DIRECTOR Respondent(s)

WITH

C.A. No. 10475/2010 (XII)

Date : 14-09-2017 These appeals were called on for hearing today.

For Appellant(s)

Ms. Shruti Iyer, Adv.
Mr. Senthil Jagadeesan, AOR

Mr. Mayank Pandey, Adv.
Mr. Sayabani Basu, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Siddhant Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Arvind Chari, Adv.
Mr. Manik Karanjawala, AOR

Mr. C. Rubavathi, Adv.
Mr. M. A. Chinnasamy, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

C.A. No. 7227/2009

Await the return of the service of notice for making alternative arrangement already issued to the appellant.

It is contended by Mr. Mayank Pandey, Id. Counsel appearing for the appellant in C.A. No. 7227/2009 that he may be granted time for filing vakalatnama because the counsel who is appearing in that

matter has been designated as Senior Advocate. He shall file the vakalatnama within a period of two weeks.

C.A. No. 10475/2010

Counsel for the appellant is present and submits that in terms of the Hon'ble Court's Order dated 3rd December, 2010 in CC No. 17894/2010 delay was condoned and leave was granted. It was further directed that the matter be tagged with C.A. No. 7227/2009 whereas the matter was converted in C.A. No. 10475/2010. It is contended by the Ld. Counsel for the appellant that she had already filed an application for modification and clarification in view of the Hon'ble Court's order dated 3rd December, 2010, on the ground that inadvertently it is wrongly tagged with CA No. 7227/2009 instead of C.A. No. 7277/2010 and her application for modification is not listed so far since 2014. Registry to verify the same as the matter is of 2010 and make a detailed report.

List again on 6.10.2017.

SANJAY PARIHAR
Registrar

MG