

**Registrar's Court No. 1**

LISTED ON: 8-1-2015  
ITEM NO. :

**SECTION XIIA**  
**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA**  
**CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**CIVIL APPEAL NO. 8177 of 2010.**

K. RAMA KOTIAH, GPA HOLDER & ANR. ..Appellants

-Versus-

ANJANEYULU & ORS. .Respondents

**OFFICE REPORT**

The matter above-mentioned was listed before the Ld. Registrar's Court on 7<sup>th</sup> August, 2014, when he was pleased to pass the following order:

“Two weeks time is granted as last opportunity to the Id. Counsel for the petitioner to submit process fee, correct address of respondent nos. 4 and 5. On furnishing the same, office to issue notices.

Service is complete on respondent no.3 but no one has put in appearance on his behalf.

Petitioner is exempted from filing Paper Books.  
List again on 7.11.2014.”

Subsequently, the matter above-mentioned was listed before the Ld. Registrar's Court on 7<sup>th</sup> November, 2014, when he was pleased to pass the following order:

“Spare copies and fresh address have been furnished on 10.10.2014.

Office to issue notices.  
List again on 8.1.2015.”

Accordingly notice was issued to respondent no. 4 and 5 on 12<sup>th</sup> november, 2014 through High Court on fresh address given by the Counsel for the appellant for effecting service of Notice to them. But unserved notices, received back with

remarks of process server “the addresses mentioned in the notice are not found in that area”.

It is further submitted that there are nine respondents in the matter above-mentioned Respondent Nos. 1 and 2 are represented by M/s Lawyer's Knit & Co., Advocates, Respondent no. 6 to 9 are represented by Mr. G. RamaKrishna Prasad, Advocate, Respondent No. 3 has been served with the notice but he has entered appearance before this Court so far.

Service is incomplete on Respondent Nos. 4 and 5.

It is further submitted that neither counsel for the appellant nor counsel for the appearing respondents have filed their statement of case and in terms of Order XIX, Rule 32(2) of the Supreme Court Rules, 2013 and its proviso, when a party does not file statement of case within time prescribed, it shall be presumed that the party does not desire to file statement of case and so far as appellant is concerned, it shall be presumed that the appellant has adopted list of dates/synopsis as statement of case.

The matter above-mentioned is listed before the Ld. Registrar's Court with this office report for order.

Dated this, the 6<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2015.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to:

1. Ms. Anjani Aiyagari, Advocate  
42, Lawyers Chambers, Supreme Court of India
2. M/s Lawyer's Knit & Co. Advocate  
25, Bazar Lane, Bengali Market, New Delhi
3. Mr. G. Ramakrishna Prasad, Advocate  
305, Supreme Enclave, Mayur Vihar, Ph-1

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR