

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Criminal Appeal No(s). 1652/2009

STATE OF HARYANA

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

HARDEEP PAL & ORS.

Respondent(s)

Date : 24/03/2015 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PINAKI CHANDRA GHOSE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE UDAY UMESH LALIT

For Appellant(s)

Ms. Naresh Bakshi,Adv.

Mr. Sanjay Kumar, Addl.AG, Haryana
Mr. Vishwa Pal Singh,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Sachin Jain, Adv.
Dr. Kailash Chand,Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The Criminal Appeal is dismissed in terms of the signed order.

(VISHAL ANAND) (SNEH LATA SHARMA)
COURT MASTER COURT MASTER
(Signed Order is placed on the file)

Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by
Vishal Anand
Date: 2015.03.25
16:39:36 EAST
Reason:

IN THE SUPEREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

Criminal Appeal No(s). 1652/2009

STATE OF HARYANA

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

HARDEEP PAL & ORS.

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

We have heard learned Additional Advocate General appearing for the State of Haryana at length today.

We do not find that there is any reason to interfere with the impugned order dated 25-9-2007 so passed by the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh.

In view of that, we find no merit in the appeal filed by the State of Haryana.

Accordingly, the Criminal Appeal is dismissed.

.....J
(PINAKI CHANDRA GHOSE)

.....J
(UDAY UMESH LALIT)

NEW DELHI;
24TH MARCH, 2015

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

Criminal Appeal No(s). 1652/2009

STATE OF HARYANA

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

HARDEEP PAL & ORS.

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

We have heard Ms. Vanshaja Shukla, learned counsel appearing for the State of Madhya Pradesh at length today.

We do not find that there is any reason to interfere with the impugned order dated 15-5-2006 so passed by the High Court of Madhya Pradesh at Jabalpur Bench.

In view of that, we find no merit in the appeal filed by the State of Madhya Pradesh.

Accordingly, the Criminal Appeal is dismissed.

However, we must express our gratitude to Shri Ranbir Yadav, learned Amicus Curiae who was appearing on behalf of the sole respondent and helped us to come to such a conclusion.

.....J
(PINAKI CHANDRA GHOSE)

.....J
(UDAY UMESH LALIT)

NEW DELHI,
24TH MARCH, 2015