

ITEM NO.20

COURT NO.2

SECTION IIIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Civil Appeal No(s). 7492/2009

MADHUKANTABEN @ YASHMITABEN CHAUHAN

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

NARENDRABHAI GAURISHANKAR TIWARI
(with appln. (s) for stay and office report)

Respondent(s)

WITH

C.A. No. 7893/2010
(With Office Report)

C.A. D 22203/2010
(With Office Report)

Date : 09/01/2015 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T.S. THAKUR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ADARSH KUMAR GOEL

For Appellant(s) Mr. Dinesh Kumar Garg,Adv.

Mr. Arvind Minocha,Adv.

Mr. Abhijat P. Medh,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Abhijat P. Medh,Adv.

Mr. Purvish Jitendra Malkan,Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The appeals are dismissed as infructuous in
terms of the signed order.

(Shashi Sareen)
Court Master

(Veena Khara)
Court Master

(Signed Order is placed on the file.)

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 7492 OF 2009

MADHUKANTABEN @ YASHMITABEN CHAUHAN .. Appellant(s)
.

Versus

NARENDRABNHAI GAURISHANKAR TIWARI .. Respondent(s)
.

With Civil Appeals No. 7893 of 2010 and 22203 of 2010

O R D E R

Civil Appeal No. 7492 of 2009:

Learned counsel for the appellant submits that since the respondent advocate who is accused of committing professional misconduct has passed away, this appeal has become infructuous and may be dismissed as such. We order accordingly.

Civil Appeal No. 7893 of 2010:

Learned counsel for the appellant submits that since the respondent advocate who is alleged to have committed the misconduct has passed away, this appeal has become infructuous and may be dismissed as such. We

order accordingly. We make it clear that in case the amount of Rs. 50,000/- awarded towards compensation against the deceased advocate is deposited by him before the Board or before any other forum the same shall be released in favour of the appellant.

Civil Appeal No. 22203 of 2010:

Learned counsel for the appellant submits that since the respondent advocate who is accused of committing professional misconduct has passed away, this appeal has become infructuous and may be dismissed as such. We order accordingly.

.....J.
(T.S.THAKUR)

.....J.
(ADARSH KUMAR GOEL)

New Delhi,
January 09, 2015.