

appeal filed by them was dismissed by the Lower Appellate Court and the second appeal was dismissed by the learned Single Judge of the High Court.

We have heard learned counsel for the parties and carefully perused the record.

In our considered view, the judgment under challenge does not suffer from any legal infirmity requiring interference under Article 136 of the Constitution. Admittedly, the petitioners had not challenged order dated 29.10.2007 passed by the learned Single Judge of the High Court in Writ Petition No.1157 of 2007. Therefore, the objections filed by them were legally untenable and the trial Court, the Lower Appellate Court and the High Court did not commit any error by refusing to entertain the same.

The special leave petition is accordingly dismissed.

(Satish K.Yadav)
Court Master

(Vinod Kulvi)
Court Master