ITEM NO.11 COURT NO.3 SECTION XIV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).23871-23872/2011

(From the judgement and order dated 18/07/2011 in AP No.27/2011 , IA No.784
2/2011 of The HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT N. DELHI)

SML ISUZU LTD. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

DELHI METRO RAIL CORP. Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for permission to place addl. documents on record and office report))

Date: 23/09/2011 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.V. RAVEENDRAN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATNAIK

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Mukul Rohtagi,Sr.Adv.
Mr. Meet Malhotra,Sr.Adv.
Mr. Mayank Mishra,Adv.
Mr. Mohit Bakshim,Adv.
Mr. Dheeraj Nair,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr Tarun Johri,Adv.
Mr. Ankur Gupta,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The Arbitration Clause provides thus:

"For this purpose, Employer will make out a panel of Engineers with requisite qualification

2

and professional experience. This panel will be of serving or retired engineers of Government Departments or of Public Undertakings".

We find from the panel furnished by the respondent, extracted in para 8 of the order of

the High Court that persons in the panel are from Railways but apparently do not have any experience in Automobile. The disputes relates to Automobiles.

In the circumstances having regard to the said wording of the Arbitration Clause, the respondent to furnish a panel of Engineers with requisite qualification and professional experience within two weeks.

List on 10.10.2011.

(O.P. Sharma)
Court Master

(M.S. Negi)
Court Master