

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL.) NO(s). 1002 OF 2009

SHWETA MISHRA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SATYA PRAKASH PANDEY

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for stay and with office report)

Date: 12/03/2010 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALTAMAS KABIR  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CYRIAC JOSEPH

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Aniruddha P. Mayee, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E RThe Transfer Petition is allowed in terms of  
the signed order.(Ganga Thakur)  
P.S. to Registrar(Juginder Kaur)  
Court MasterSigned order is placed on the file.  
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

TRANSFER PETITION (C) NO. 1002 OF 2009

SHWETA MISHRA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SATYA PRAKASH PANDEY

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Despite having been served with notice of the Transfer  
Petition, there is no one present on behalf of the respondent-  
husband when the Transfer Petition is taken up for

consideration. By virtue of the Transfer Petition the petitioner-wife has prayed for transfer of M.C. No. 728 of 2009, titled Satya Prakash Pandey Vs. Smt. Swetha, pending before the Court of Principal Judge, Family Court, Bangalore, to the Family Court, Nainital.

Having heard learned counsel in support of the petition and having perused the grounds, we are satisfied that the same should be allowed. The Transfer Petition is allowed. Let the above-mentioned proceedings pending before the Court of Principal Judge, Family Court, Bangalore, stand transferred to the court of Principal Judge, Family Court, Nainital.

.....j.  
( CYRIAC JOSEPH )

.....J.  
( ALTAMAS KABIR )

New Delhi,  
March 12, 2010.