

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR M K HANJURA

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C).....CC No(s).
1203-1235/2010

STATE OF HARYANA & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

RATTAN SINGH & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(with office report)

WITH

SLP(C) No. 30703/2011

(With Interim Relief and Office Report)

Date : 09/04/2015 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mr.Piyush Hans,adv.
Dr.Monika Gusain,adv.

Mr. Rajinder Mathur,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr.Rajat Kapoor,adv.
Mr. Anis Ahmed Khan,Adv.
Mr. B. Vijay Kumar,Adv.
Mr.Rahul Dagar,adv.
Mr. Vineet Bhagat,Adv.

Ms. Anubha Agrawal,Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

SLP(C)CC No.1203-1235/2010

The office report is that although by order dated 02.02.2015 of this Court, four weeks time as last chance was given to the Id.counsel for the petitioners to take fresh steps for the service

of notice to the unserved respondents, yet he has not done the needful within the period stipulated in the order. Viewed in that context, the matter shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Judge in Chambers for further future directions. Await orders and list thereafter.

SLP(C) No.30703/2011

Mr.Piyush Hans, Id.counsel appears for the petitioner-State of Haryana. He seeks and is given four weeks time to file the vakalatnama.

The office report indicates that the respondent No.3 has already filed the counter affidavit. The respondent No.1 has failed to file the counter affidavit within the period stipulated under the rules. The office report proceeds to state that the respondent No.2 is common in SLP(C) No.24276-278/2009 and represented through Id. Counsel. Therefore, service is deemed as complete against him. Viewed in that context, the matter shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Court as and when the connected one gets ready.

(M K HANJURA)
Registrar

SB