

etc. in master plans/development plans, zonal plans and local area plans. Para 8 of the policy also requires a Town Vending Committee to be constituted to monitor the implementation of the policy at the city/town/ward/locality levels. Petitioners also contend that the municipality ought to have identified the alternative place as street vendors' zone.

4.As the writ petition has been dismissed on the ground that the temporary kiosks of petitioners have already been removed and the petitioners are no longer carrying on business in that area, the petitioners will have to approach the High Court by a separate petitioner for implementation of the policy for providing an alternative earmarked area for vendors. The

-3-

petitioners can also pray for constitution of the Town Vending Committee so that the Urban Street Vendors' Policy could be monitored and implemented.

5.Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the removal of their kiosks was only temporary in connection with the Mahakumbh and the removal was not intended to be a permanent measure. This may be a disputed question of fact. The finding in the writ petition is that the petitioners were already evicted. Be that as it may.

With these observations the SLP is disposed of, reserving liberty to petitioners to have recourse to such remedy as may be available in law.

[SUMAN WADHWA]
COURT MASTER

[M.S. NEGI]
COURT MASTER