

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR S.G. SHAH

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).23739-23742/2011

SAU APEKSHA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

ASHISH & ORS.ETC.

Respondent(s)

(With prayer for interim relief and office report )

Date: 10/02/2012 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Nirnimesh Dube,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Nobody is present for the petitioner.

The ld. Advocate, Mr Manish Pitale appearing on behalf of Mr C.S.Ashri, Advocate-on-record confirms that they have filed vakalatnama and counter affidavit for respondent Nos. 1 to 3 in SLP(C) Nos.23742/2011 and for the same respondent in all connected SLPs irrespective of their number as respondent. It is further stated that respondent No.5 is none but the father of the present petitioner and he was disclosed as proforma respondent in the SLP itself. However, thereafter at least respondent No.4 remains unserved.

Issue fresh notice with dasti service on unserved respondent nos. 4 and 5, which is to be forwarded to the

Item No.35

Civil Judge, Senior Division, Akola and with reference to

Civil Suit No.169/2009 for confirming service upon such unserved respondents even by affixing the same at the given address if it could not be served in due course, if process

fee and spare copies are paid before 18.2.2012, else list before the Hon'ble Judge in Chamber for non-prosecution.

Additional copy of notice be provided to the ld. Advocate for respondent Nos. 1 to 3 since he also wants to confirm service by dasti mode. He is permitted to confirm service by dasti mode. In that case he has to follow the provisions of Code of Civil Procedure without fail and to file proper affidavit on record.

If notices are issued, list again on 2.4.2012.

(S.G.SHAH)  
REGISTRAR

hj