

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).23854/2010

(From the judgement and order dated 23/07/2010 in CWP No.2931/2010 of The HIGH COURT OF H.P AT SHIMLA)

SATLUJ JAL VIDYUT NIGAM LTD. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SITA DEVI & ANR Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for permission to place addl. documents on record and with prayer for interim relief and office report)

WITH SLP(C) NO. 23826 of 2010
(With appln. for directions and bringing on record additional documents and office report)

Date: 27/02/2012 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.M. LODHA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.L. GOKHALE

For Petitioner(s) Mr. P.P. Rao, Sr. Adv.
Mr. B.K.Satiya, Adv.
Mr. Utsav Sidhu, Adv.
Ms. Apeksha Sharan, Adv.
Mr. Abhimanyu Tiwari, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Vinay Kuthiala, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Tripurari Ray, Adv.
Mr. Ramshi Rohani Yadav, Adv.
Mr. Vishnu Sharma, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Leave granted.

The appeals stand disposed of in terms of signed order with no order as to costs.

(Pardeep Kumar) (Renu Diwan)
Court Master Court Master

[SIGNED ORDER IS PLACED ON THE FILE]
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 2574 OF 2012
[ARISING OUT OF S.L.P. (C) NO. 23854 OF 2010]

SATLUJ JAL VIDYUT NIGAM LTD. ... APPELLANT(s)

Versus

SITA DEVI AND ANOTHER . RESPONDENT(s)

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 2575 OF 2012
[ARISING OUT OF S.L.P. (C) NO. 23826 OF 2010]

SATLUJ JAL VIDYUT NIGAM LTD.

... APPELLANT(s)

Versus

LUMBRU RAM AND ANOTHER

. RESPONDENT(s)

O R D E R

Leave granted.

2. Mr. Vinay Kuthiala, learned senior counsel for the respondent No. 1 - Sita Devi submits that although the impugned interim orders passed by the High Court are justified yet he has no objection if the orders are set-aside and the High Court hears and decides the main Writ Petitions which are pending before it expeditiously.

3. Mr. P.P. Rao, learned senior counsel for the appellant has no objection to the Writ Petitions being heard expeditiously by the High Court. He, however, submits that the submission of learned senior counsel for the contesting respondents that the impugned interim orders are justified is not correct.

: 2 :

4. Be that as it may, having regard to the submissions of the learned counsel for the parties, we are satisfied that the appeals may be disposed of by the following order:

i) The order dated March 16, 2010 passed by the High Court in CWP No. 3084 of 2009 and order dated July 23, 2010 in C.W.P. No. 2931 of 2010 are set-aside.

(ii) The High Court is requested to hear and decide C.W.P. Nos. 3084 of 2009 and 2931 of 2010 as expeditiously as may be possible and preferably by the end of September 30, 2012.

(iii) Needless to say that the High Court shall decide the above Writ Petitions on their own merits uninfluenced by the present order.

5. No costs.

6. In view of the disposal of the appeals, I.A. No. 3 of 2010 for directions does not survive and stands disposed

of .

.....J.
(R.M. LODHA)

.....J.
(H.L. GOKHALE)

NEW DELHI
FEBRUARY 27, 2012.