

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDING  
I.A.NO.3 & 4/2012  
IN  
CIVIL APPEAL NO.4049 OF 2006

HARIOM BAJPAYEE

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

ANJOO BAJPAYEE

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for clarification,exemption from filing O.T. and office report)

Date: 25/04/2012 This IA/Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATNAIK  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SWATANTER KUMAR

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Manoj K. Mishra,Adv.  
Mr. Shivpati B. Pandey,Adv.  
Mr. R.D. Upadhyay,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Anil Kumar Jha,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

In terms of the signed order, I.A.NO.3 & 4/2012 stand disposed of.

(O.P. Sharma)  
Court Master

(M.S. Negi)  
Court Master

(Signed order is placed on the file)  
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

I.A.NO.3 & 4/2012  
IN  
CIVIL APPEAL NO.4049 OF 2006

HARIOM BAJPAYEE

Applicant/  
Appellant

VERSUS

ANJOO BAJPAYEE

Respondent

O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the Applicant/Appellant.

By order dated 18.3.2010 passed by this Court in

Civil Appeal No.4049 of 2006, the appellant-applicant was required to pay ` 3500/- per month to his wife and minor daughter. The wife of the appellant-applicant has died in the meanwhile. Hence this application (I.A.No.3 & 4) has been filed by the appellant-applicant for modification of the said order dated 18.3.2010 so as to provide that instead of ` 3500/-, ` 1750/- shall be paid to the daughter of the appellant-applicant.

We are not inclined to reduce the amount of ` 3500/- to ` 1750/- per month only because the wife of the appellant-applicant is expired. Instead, we clarify that the entire amount of ` 3500/- per month shall be paid to the daughter of the appellant-applicant.

I.A.No.3 & 4/2012 stand disposed of accordingly.

[ A.K. PATNAIK ]

.....J.

NEW DELHI .....J.  
APRIL 25, 2012 [ SWATANTER KUMAR ]