

ITEM NO.1

COURT NO.6

SECTION II

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl) No(s).6978/2010

(From the judgement and order dated 05/08/2010 in CRLP No.378/2009
of The HIGH COURT OF A.P. AT HYDERABAD)

APURVA PUROHIT

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF A.P.& ANR

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for stay and office report)

Date: 18/08/2011 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MARKANDEY KATJU

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRAMAULI KR. PRASAD

For Petitioner(s)

Dr. A.M. Singhvi, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Jayant Bhushan, Sr. Adv.
Mr. R.N. Karanjawala, Adv.
Mr. Sandeep Kapur, Adv.
Ms. Meghna Mishra, Adv.
Mr. Shivek Trehan, Adv.
Mr. Udit Mendiratha, Adv.
Mr. Ravi Sharma, Adv. for
M/S. Karanjawala & Co.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Rakesh Dwivedi, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Sridhar Potaraju, Adv.
Mr. Gaichangpou Gangmei, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the appearing parties.

This special leave petition has been filed against the impugned judgment and order dated 05.08.2010 passed by the High Court of Andhra Pradesh by which the criminal petition filed by the petitioner herein under Section 482 of the Criminal Procedure Code had been dismissed.

2

ITEM NO.1

COURT NO.6

SECTION II

(18/08/2011)

Contd....

We had passed an order dated 26.10.2010 observing that, in our opinion, Civil Suit No. 2401 of 2006 regarding the same controversy should first be decided by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay where it was pending and stayed the proceedings in the criminal case.

We are now informed that the said civil suit has been finally decided by the High Court of Judicature at Bombay by judgment dated 25.07.2011.

Mr. Rakesh Dwivedi, learned senior counsel appearing for respondent No. 2, states that in view of the judgment in the said civil suit, he will withdraw the criminal case against the petitioner herein.

Accordingly, we dispose of this special leave petition with the observation that the criminal proceedings against the petitioner stand withdrawn but with liberty to respondent No. 2 to take such legal proceedings in future as he is advised.

(Rajesh Dham)
Court Master

(Indu Satija)
Court Master