

ITEM NO.101

COURT NO.5

SECTION X

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 1279 OF 2013

CHANDIGARH DISTILLERS & BOTTLERS LD.&ANR

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF UTTARAKHAND & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for exemption from filing O.T.)

WITH Civil Appeal NO. 1280 of 2013

Civil Appeal NO. 1281 of 2013

Civil Appeal NO. 1282 of 2013

Civil Appeal NO. 1283 of 2013  
(With appln. for directions)

Civil Appeal NO. 1284 of 2013

Civil Appeal NO. 1285 of 2013

Civil Appeal NO. 1286 of 2013

Civil Appeal NO. 1287 of 2013

Civil Appeal NO. 1288 of 2013

Civil Appeal NO. 1289 of 2013

Civil Appeal NO. 1290 of 2013

Civil Appeal NO. 1291 of 2013

Civil Appeal NO. 1292 of 2013

Civil Appeal NO. 1293 of 2013

Civil Appeal NO. 1294 of 2013

Civil Appeal NO. 1295 of 2013

Date: 09/01/2014 These Appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATNAIK

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FAKKIR MOHAMED IBRAHIM KALIFULLA

For the parties

Mr. P.S. Narasimha, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Sunil Mathews, Adv.

Mr. R.P. Singh, Adv.

Mr. Aditya Shukla, Adv.

Mr. Yashraj Singh Deora, Adv.

Ms. Anupama Dhurve, Adv.

Mr. M.P. Jha, Adv.

Mr. M.P. Shorawala, Adv.  
Mr. Nitin Kumar Thakur, Adv.  
Mr. Anil Kumar Tandale, Adv.  
Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, Adv.  
Mr. H.A. Naik, Adv.  
Mr. Rajesh K. Chauhan, Adv.  
Mr. Venkateswara Rao Anumolu, Adv.  
Dr. (Mrs.) Vipin Gupta, Adv.  
M/S. Temple Law Firm, Advs.  
Mr. Abhinav Mukerji, Adv.  
Mr. Rajesh Prasad Singh, Adv.  
Mr. S.S. Ray, Adv.  
Ms. Maneesh Goyal, Adv.  
Ms. Rajan Tyagi, Adv.  
Ms. Vaibhav Gulia, Adv.  
Ms. Rakhi Ray, Adv.  
Ms. Sujata Kurdukar, Adv.  
Mr. Arhit Majumdar, Adv.  
Ms. N. Annapoorani, Adv.  
Mr. Dinesh Dwivedi, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Amit Krishna Sinha, Adv.  
Mr. Prateek Dwivedi, Adv.  
Mr. Saurabh Trivedi, Adv.  
Mr. Manish Shankar Srivastava, Adv.  
Mr. Krishnan Mishra, Adv.  
Mr. Yashrath Kr., Adv.  
Mr. Vijay Hansaria, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Amit Anand Tiwari, Adv.  
Mr. Avinash Tripathi, Adv.  
Mr. Kushagra Pandey, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

We are told by learned counsel appearing for the State of Uttarakhand that pursuant to the impugned judgment directing that there should first be a detail environmental impact assessment and scientific study of all the major and minor rivers in the State of Uttarakhand before any steps are taken for giving the hydel projects to the State or private developers, I.I.T., Roorkee and the Indian Council for Forestry Research and Education (ICFRE), Dehradun have been entrusted by the Government of Uttarakhand to make the environmental impact assessment and scientific study and their final report is likely to be furnished within three months. We are, therefore, of the opinion that the hearing of these matters should be taken up after three months.

Learned counsel for the State of Uttarakhand will file copies of the reports furnished by the I.I.T., Roorkee and the Indian Council for Forestry Research and Education (ICFRE), Dehradun before 15th April, 2014.

List on 15th April, 2014.

(G. SUDHAKARA RAO)  
COURT MASTER

(SHARDA KAPOOR)  
COURT MASTER

