

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.696 OF 2005

B. BEERAPPA

.... Appellant

VERSUS

STATE OF KARNATAKA

.... Respondent

O R D E R

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

This appeal has been filed against the impugned judgment of the High Court of Karnataka dated 8th April, 2004.

The facts have been stated in the judgment and hence we are not repeating the same here.

On the facts and circumstances of the case and to do substantial justice between the parties, we are of the opinion that the ends of justice will be sub-served if, while maintaining the conviction of the appellant, we reduce the sentence to the sentence already undergone by the appellant. It is ordered accordingly. The judgment of the High Court is modified to that extent.

- 2-

This Court has granted bail to the appellant by order dated 14th March, 2005. The bail bonds are discharged.

The appeal is disposed of accordingly.

.....J.
(Markandey Katju)

.....J.
(T.S. Thakur)

New Delhi
October 21, 2010
ITEM NO.116

COURT NO.6

SECTION II

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO(s). 696 OF 2005

B. BEERAPPA

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

STATE OF KARNATAKA

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for exemption from filing O.T.,bail

Date: 21/10/2010 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MARKANDEY KATJU
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE T.S. THAKUR

For Appellant(s)

Ms. Kiran Suri,Adv.
Mr. Vijay Kumar, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Ashok Bhan, Adv.
Mr. Rahul Kaushik, Adv.
Mr. Arvind Kumar Sharma,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The appeal is disposed of in terms of the signed order.

(Inder Pal Singh)
AR-CUM-PS

(Indu Satija)
Court Master

The signed order is placed on the file.