

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I.A. Nos. 6-7 in CIVIL APPEAL NO(s). 98-99 OF 2013

NEELIMA JAUHARI

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

KRISHNA AND ORS

Respondent(s)

(for clarification/modification of court's order and office report)

Date: 07/05/2013 These Appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SINGHVI

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RANJANA PRAKASH DESAI

For Appellant(s) Mr. Puneet Jain, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Ajay Pal, Adv.

Mr. Rajesh Kumar Gupta ,Adv

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

These are the applications by respondent no.5 in Civil Appeal No. 98-99 of 2013 for modification of judgment dated 7.1.2013.

Having heard learned counsel for the parties, we are satisfied that the words 'respondent no.5' appearing in the 6th line of the operative portion of judgment dated 7.1.2013 deserves to be substituted with the words 'respondent no.3'.

The application is accordingly disposed of with the direction that in line number 6 of the operative portion of judgment dated 7.1.2013 the words 'respondent no.5' shall be substituted and shall always deemed to have been substituted with the words 'respondent no.3'.

| (Parveen Kr.Chawla)

| Court Master

| | (Phoolan Wati Arora)

| | Court Master