

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

REVIEW PETITION (C) NO(s). 2796-2797 OF 2011 IN SLP(C) 17863-17864/2011

M/S BICYCLE MFG.CORP.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

IQBAL SINGH SABHARWAAL & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for stay and c/delay in filing review petition
and PERMISSION TO FILE ADDL. DOCUMENTS)

Date: 25/01/2012 These Petitions were circulated today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATNAIK
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SWATANTER KUMAR

For Petitioner(s)

By Circulation

For Respondent(s)

UPON perusing papers the Court made the following
O R D E R

The review petitions are dismissed in terms of the
signed order.

(DEEPAK MANSUKHANI)
Court Master

(M.S. NEGI)
Court Master

(The signed order is placed on the file)
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION (C) NO(s). 2796-2797 OF 2011
IN
SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION(C)No(s). 17863-17864/2011

M/S BICYCLE MANUFACTURING CORPORATION

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

IQBAL SINGH SABHARWAL & ORS.

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Delay of 14 days in filing the review petitions is
condoned.

The main ground taken in the review petitions is that the
special leave petitions were dismissed in view of the fact that
the review petition is pending in the High Court, but actually
review petition stood disposed of by the High Court.

We have considered this ground and we are of the opinion

that even though the review petition stood disposed of when these special leave petitions were dismissed, on facts, this is not a fit case for entertaining the special leave petitions and, therefore, we are not inclined to review the order dated 5.7.2011 dismissing the special leave petitions. As stated in the order dated 5.7.2011, the orders passed by this Court will not come in the way of the petitioner pursuing the appeal against the sale.

The review petitions are dismissed .

.....J
(A.K. PATNAIK)

(SWATANTER KUMAR)

.....J

NEW DELHI
JANUARY 25, 2012.