

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil)...../2011
CC 22400/2011

(From the judgment and order dated 05/01/2011 in FA No.190/1996 of The
HIGH COURT OF PATNA)

ANJALI DEVI (D) THR.LRS.& ANR.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

AMARENDRA KUMAR AND ORS.

Respondent(s)

(OFFICE REPORT FOR DIRECTIONS)

(alongwith letter the advocate on record and the appln. for c/delay, if
any, in refiling SLP)

Date: 10/09/2012 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATNAIK
(IN CHAMBERS)

For Petitioner(s) Ms. Uttara Babbar,Adv.
Mr. Uday Rathore,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Since the petitioner has filed a letter saying that the
orders dated 12.12.1995, 15.5.1989, 22.3.1996 and 15.12.1995 are
not relevant for deciding the special leave petition, the
Registry will not insist the petitioner filing the copies of the
aforesaid orders.

Delay of 94 days in refiling the special leave petition is
condoned.

An application has been filed seeking deletion of
petitioner no.1 from the array of parties on the ground that the
petitioner no.1 (respondent no.1 before the High Court) had died
during the pendency of the first appeal before the Patna High
Court.

The proper procedure is to first get the impugned order
corrected by moving an appropriate application before the High
Court as the petitioner no. 1 (respondent no.1 before the High
Court) died during the pendency of the matter before the High
Court.

This may be done within two months.

| S R . P . A

| | C O U R T M A S T E R

|