

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

I N D I A

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR MRS. RACHNA GUPTA

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 8138/  
2013

DELHI DAYAL BAGH HOUSING BUILDING SOCIET  
er(s) Petition

VERSUS

REGISTRAR COOP. SOCIETIES & ORS. Responde  
nt(s)

Date : 22/07/2015 This petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Adv.  
Ms. Garima Prashad, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Ms. Iti Sharma, Adv.  
Mr. Ashwani Kumar, Adv.  
Mr. Praveen Agrawal, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Service of respondent Nos.1, 4, 6, 7 and 9 is complete

but none has entered appearance.

For respondent Nos.3, 10 and 11, petitioner st  
ill to

provide the fresh address.

Opportunity of respondent Nos.5 and 8 to file the counter  
affidavit has already been declined.

Legal representatives of respondent No.2 as  
were

impleaded vide order of the Hon'ble Chamber Judge  
dated

11.5.2015 have duly been served but none has e  
ntered

Signature Not Verified

appearance.

Digitally signed by

Rupam Dhamija

Date: 2015.07.25

11:33:19 IST

Reason:

List again on 28.9.2015.

(RACHNA

GUPTA)

Regis

trar  
ITEM NO.28  
ION XIV

REGISTRAR COURT. 1

SECT

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

I N D I A

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR MRS. RACHNA GUPTA

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 8138/  
2013

DELHI DAYAL BAGH HOUSING BUILDING SOCIET  
er(s) Petition

VERSUS

REGISTRAR COOP. SOCIETIES & ORS.  
nt(s) Responde

Date : 22/07/2015 This petition was called on for hearing today.

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Adv.  
Ms. Garima Prashad, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Ms. Iti Sharma, Adv.  
Mr. Ashwani Kumar, Adv.  
Mr. Praveen Agrawal, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Service of respondent Nos.1, 4, 6, 7 and 9 is complete

but none has entered appearance.

For respondent Nos.3, 10 and 11, petitioner st  
ill to

provide the fresh address.

Opportunity of respondent Nos.5 and 8 to file the counter

affidavit has already been declined.

Legal representatives of respondent No.2 as  
were

impleaded vide order of the Hon'ble Chamber Judge  
dated

11.5.2015 have duly been served but none has e  
ntered

Signature Not Verified

appearance.

Digitally signed by

Rupam Dhamija

Date: 2015.07.25

11:33:19 IST

Reason:

List again on 28.9.2015.

GUPTA)

trar

(RACHNA

Regis