

VITEM NO.89

REGISTRAR COURT. 1 SECTION XV
S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS
BEFORE THE REGISTRAR MR. PAWAN DEV KOTWAL

Civil Appeal No(s). 5962/2009

HOTEL KANISHKA

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(With interim relief and office report)

WITH

C.A.NO. 5963/2009

(With Interim Relief and Office report)

C.A.No. 5964/2009

(With Interim Relief and Office report)

C.A. No. 5965/2009

(With Interim Relief and Office report)

Date : 13/04/2016 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Umesh Kumar Khaitan, Adv.

Ms. Priya Deep, Adv.

Ms. Indra Sawhney, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Shreekant N. Terdal, Adv.

Ms. Anindita Pujari, Adv.

Mr. Sterdal, Adv.

Ms. Ragini Singh, Adv.

Mr. D.S. Mahra, Adv.

Ms. Aparna Bhat, Adv.

Mr. P.N. Gupta, Adv.

Ms. Diksha Rai, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

C.A.No. 5962/2009

Service is complete.

...contd.2/-

-2-

Appellant and respondent no. 1 have filed the statement of case.

Respondent no. 2 has not filed statement of case nor it is mandatory in view of amended Rules.

C.A.NO. 5963/2009

Service is complete.

Appellant and respondent no. 2 have filed the statement of case.

Respondent no. 1 has not filed statement of case nor it is mandatory in view of amended Rules.

C.A.No. 5964/2009

Service is complete.

Appellant and respondent no. 2 have filed the statement of case.

Respondent no. 1 has not filed statement of case nor it is mandatory in view of amended Supreme Court Rules.

C.A.No. 5965/2009

Service is complete.

Appellant and respondent no. 2 have filed the statement of case.

Respondent no. 1 has not filed statement of case nor it is mandatory in view of amended Supreme Court Rules.

Hence, process all the matters for listing before Hon'ble Court as per Rules.

(PAWAN DEV KOTWAL)

Registrar