

ITEM NO.3

COURT NO.3

SECTION XIV

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

I.A. 80/2016 in Civil Appeal No.10660/2010

CENTER FOR PIL & ORS.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(for directions and office report)

Date : 04/03/2016 This application was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGDISH SINGH KHEHAR

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C. NAGAPPAN

For Appellant(s)

Mr. K.K. Venugopal, Adv. Sr. Adv. (AC)

Mr. Anand Grover (S.P.P.), Adv.

Mr. Mihir Samson, Adv.

Mr. Abhinav Mukerji, Adv.

Mr. Prashant Bhushan, Adv.

Mr. Amit Shukla, Adv.

Mr. Omanakuttan L.K., Adv.

Mr. Santosh Kumar Tripathi, Adv.

Mr. S. K. Verma, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Dr. Subramaniam Swamy (intervenor In Person)

Ms. Roxna Swamy, Adv.

Mr. Yatinder Chaudhary, Adv.

Applicant-in-person

Mr. Abhijat P. Medh, Adv.

Mr. Abhinav Mukerji, Adv.

Mr. Anupam Lal Das, Adv.

Mr. Arvind Kumar Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Tushar Mehta, ASV.

Mr. Arijit Prasad, Adv.
 Mr. T.A. Khan, Adv.
 Ms. Sadhana Sandhu, Adv.
 Mr. B. V. Balaram Das, Adv.

Mr. Chandra Prakash, Adv.

Mr. Dharmendra Kumar Sinha, Adv.

Mr. E. C. Agrawala, Adv.
 Mr. Gaurav Kejriwal, Adv.

Mr. Kaushik Poddar, Adv.

Mr. Navin Chawla, Adv.

Mrs. Anil Katiyar, Adv.

Mr. Siddharth Singla, Adv.

Mr. Sandeep Kapur, Adv.
 Mr. V.I.P. Sandhu, Adv.
 Ms. Manik Karanjawala, Adv.
 Mr. Ravi Sharma, Adv. for
 M/s. Karanjawala & Co.

Ms. Niranjana Singh, Adv.

Mr. Vishwajit Singh, Adv.

Ms. Pinki Anand, ASG
 Mr. Rajiv Nanda, Adv.
 Mfr. Tushar Bakshi, Adv.
 Mr. R.R. Rajesh, Adv.
 Mr. M. Khairati, Adv.

State of Meghalaya: Mr. Ranjan Mukherjee, Adv.
 Mr. S.C. Ghosh, Adv.
 Mr. S. Bhowmick, Adv.

State of Punjab: Mr. Saurabh Ajay Gupta, Adv.
 Mr. Nishant Bishnoi, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
 O R D E R

Learned counsel for the parties are agreed that the prayer made in the I.A. should be accepted and that Mr. Santosh Rastogi, the Ist Level Supervisory Officer in one of the cases, and

the IInd Level Supervisory Officer in two other cases should be relieved of his responsibilities, so as to revert back to his parent cadre. In view of the statement made by the learned counsel for rival parties to this Court, we accept the prayer made in the instant I.A.No.80/2016.

The Union of India shall appoint a substitute in place of Mr. Santosh Rastogi within two weeks from today.

I.A.No.80 of 2016 is disposed of accordingly.

(USHA BHARDWAJ)
AR-CUM-PS

(RENUKA SADANA)
COURT MASTER