

i>¿

SECTION. IV

SECTION IV
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CIVIL APPEAL NO. 2317 OF 2011

WITH

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NOS. 6 & 7
(Application for vacating stay filed by)

WITH

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 8 of 2012

(Application for Impleadment of the party as respondent filed by Ms. S. Janani, Advocate)

WITH

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 9 of 2012

(Application for Impleadment of the party as appellant filed by Mr. R.D. Upadhyay, Advocate)

WITH

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 10 to 12 of 2013

(Applications for Impleadment to implead the parties as Respondents filed by Mr. Vipin Kumar Jai and Mr. P. Soma Sundram, Advocates) AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 15 OF 2013

(Application for Impleadment to implead the party as respondent filed by Mr. S. Gowthaman, Advocate)

AND

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO. 16 OF 2014

(Application for intervenor as petitioner/ appellant filed by M/s Mahalakshmi Balaji & Co, Advocates)

WITH

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 2318 OF 2011

AND

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 2324 OF 2011

WITH

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION NO.
(Application for Intervention)

State of Punjab & Ors. etc.

...Appellants

Versus

Davinder Singh & Ors. etc.

...Respondents

OFFICE REPORT

The Civil Appeal No. 2317 of 2011 was mentioned before the Hon'ble Court on 25th February, 2014, when the Court was pleased to pass the following order:-
'List the matter in third week of March, 2014.'

SERVICE POSITION OF THE MATTERS ARE AS UNDER;-

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 2317 OF 2011:-

The instant Appeal is granted by this Court's Order dated 1.3.2011.

It is submitted that there are 3 respondents. They are represented through Mr. Tushar Bakshi, Mr. Shekhar Kumar, Mr. Satyendra Kumar, Advocates respectively.

It is submitted that Mr. Kuldeep Singh, Advocate has on 19.00.2011 filed Reply Affidavit on behalf of State of Punjab in I.A. No. 6 & 7 of 2011 in which some pages are of dim impression. However, Copy of reply affidavit has been placed with I.As paper books.

It is further submitted that Mr. Shekhar Kumar, Advocate has filed rejoinder affidavit in reply to the counter affidavit filed by State of Punjab on behalf of

impleaded respondent no. 2 on 21.11.2011. Copy of rejoinder affidavit has already been circulated to the Hon'ble Court.

It is submitted that Ms. S. Janani, Advocate has on 17.12.2011 filed an application for impleadment to implead the party as respondent. The application has been registered as I.A. No.8.

It is submitted that Mr. R.D. Upadhyay, Advocate has on 12.9.2012 filed an application for impleadment to implead the party as appellant. The application has been registered as I.A No. 9. Copy of the application for impleadment has already been circulated to the Hon'ble Court.

It is submitted that Mr. Vipin Kumar Jai, Advocate has on 12.9.2012 filed an application for impleadment to implead the party as appellant. The application has been registered as I.A No. 10. Copy of the application for impleadment has been placed with Appeal Paper Books.

It is submitted that Mr. P. Soma Sundram, Advocate have on 10.11.2012 and 26.11.2012 filed separate applications for impleadment to implead the party as Respondent. The applications have been registered as I.A No. 11 & 12. Copy of the applications for impleadment have been placed with Appeal Paper Books.

It is submitted that Mr. S.Gowthaman, Advocate has on 22.11.2012 filed an application for impleadment to implead the party as Respondent. The same has been registered as I.A. No. 15 of 2013.

It is submitted that M/s. Mahalakshmi Balaji & Co, Advocates has on 10/3/2014 filed an Application for intervenor as petitioner/ appellant in the appeal. The same has been registered as I.A. No. 16 of 2014. Copy of application has been placed with paper book.

In CA no. 2318/11 :-

There is a sole respondent and he is represented through Ms. Naresh Bakshi Advocate. Service is complete.

In CA no. 2324/11 :-

There are three respondents in the appeal. Respondent No. 1 and 3 are represented through Mr. R.V. Kameshwaran and Mr. Satyendra Kumar, Advocate. Respondent No. 2 has been served with notice at SLP Stage but has not chosen to enter appearance. Service is complete.

It is submitted that Ms. S. Janani, Advocate has on 21.04.2010 filed an application for intervention which is found to be defective for the reason that application has not been served on the Counsel for the Respondents. The unregistered application for intervention has been placed with Appeal Paper Books.

Original records have been received in all the matters from High Court and are available for the reference of the Hon'ble Court.

The Civil Appeals alongwith applications mentioned above are listed before the Hon'ble Court with this Office Report.

Dated this the 14th day of March, 2014.

Sd/-

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

Copy to :-

1. Mr. Jagjit Singh Chhabra, Adv.
2. Mr. R. D. Upadhyay, Adv.
3. Mr. Tushar Bakshi, Adv.
4. Mr. Shekhar Kumar, Adv.
5. Mr. Satyendra Kumar, Adv.
6. Ms. S. Janani, Adv.
7. Mr. Vipin Kumar Jai, Adv.
8. Mr. R.V. Kameshwaran, Adv.
9. Mr. P.Soma Sundram, Adv.
10. Mr.S. Gowthaman, Adv.
- 11.M/s. Mahalakshmi Balaji & Co.

REGISTRAR

ASSISTANT

a.kc-4orseven