

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I N D I A

Civil Appeal No(s). 6370-6372/2013

I.C.A.R. THR. DIR. GEN. & ORS. Appellant(s)

VERSUS

BIDESH SINGH & ORS. Respondent(s)

(Office report for directions)

WITH

C.A. No. 6373-6374/2013
(Office report for directions)

Date : 22/04/2016 These appeals were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ABHAY MANOHAR SAPRE
[IN CHAMBERS]

For Appellant(s) Mr. Anurag, Adv.
Mrs. B. Sunita Rao, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Pahlad Singh Sharma,Adv.
Mr. Jitendra Mohan Sharma,Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Civil Appeal No(s). 6370-6372/2013

This matter is listed today for directions as counsel
for the appellants has not taken steps for bringing on
record LRs. of respondent No.20 (Mr. Khim Singh Bisht). It

is clear from the record that respondent no.20 has expired

Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by and the counsel for the appellants was informed to take
SAPNA BISHT

Date: 2016.04.26

14:24:53 IST

Reason:

steps in this regard on 25.08.2014. It is also noticed that
::2::

several opportunities were granted to the appellants to
take steps, but despite giving them enough opportunity, the
appellants could not take any step to bring LRs. of
respondent No.20 on record.

In the circumstances, I do not think any useful
purpose will be served in granting appellants any further

opportunity in this regard. The effect of not taking steps to bring the LR's. of respondent no.20 on record will be taken note of at the the time of final hearing of the appeal. With these observations the office is now directed to proceed.

Civil Appeal No(s). 6373-6374/2013

This matter is listed today for directions because counsel for the appellants has not taken any step to serve respondent nos.6, 11(i) to 11(v) and to bring on record LR's. of respondent No.14 (Mahindar Singh).

It is clear from the record that respondent no.14 expired on 22.06.2014, whereas the counsel for the appellants was informed to take steps in this regard on 27.05.2012. It is also noticed that several opportunities were granted to the appellants to take steps, but despite giving them enough opportunity, no steps have been taken to get the unserved respondents served and to bring LR's. of respondent No.14 on record.

::3::

In the circumstances, I do not think any useful purpose will be served in granting appellants any further opportunity in this regard. The effect of non-compliance of the order in this behalf will be taken note of at the the time of final hearing of the appeal. With these observations, the office is now directed to proceed.

(A.D. SHARMA)
AR-CUM-PS

(RAJESH KR. CHAURASIA)
SR.P.A.

(CHANDER BALA)
COURT MASTER