

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR MR. SURAJIT DEY

Civil Appeal No(s). 6370-6372/2013

I.C.A.R. THR. DIR. GEN. & ORS.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

BIDESH SINGH & ORS.  
(with interim relief and office report)  
WITH  
C.A. No. 6373-6374/2013  
(With Office Report)

Respondent(s)

Date : 29/01/2015 These appeals were called on for hearing today.

For Appellant(s)

Mr. Anurag, Adv.  
Mrs. B. Sunita Rao, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Pahlad Singh Sharma, Adv.  
Mr. Jitendra Mohan Sharma, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

C.A. Nos.6370-6372/2013

In respect of respondent Nos.3, 7 to 10, 13, 14, 23, 27,  
28, 32, 37, 38, 41, 44, 46 to 48 and 50 to 52, the names have  
been deleted vide orders dated 20.11.2009 and 29.11.2011 of  
the Hon'ble Court.

The Office report suggests that respondent No.20 has

Signature Not Verified

already expired.

Digitally signed by  
Rupam Dhamija  
Date: 2015.02.02

Liberty was granted to the Ld. Counsel for

17:01:44 IST  
Reason:

the appellant to prefer substitution application vide order  
dated 14.11.2014 but the same has yet not been availed of.

Item No.32

However, two weeks' time, as sought for, is granted to comply  
with the said order.

Service is complete in respect of respondent Nos.2, 15, 18, 19, 26 and 45 but no one has put in appearance.

C.A. Nos.6373-6374/2013

In respect of respondent Nos.12, 13 and 16 to 19, the names have been deleted vide order dated 28.11.2011 and 19.11.2012 of the Hon'ble Court.

The Office report suggests that respondent No.14 has expired. Liberty is granted to the Ld. Counsel for the appellant to file substitution application within two weeks.

The Ld. Counsel for the appellant to take fresh steps for effecting service upon respondent Nos.6 and 11(i) to 11(v) by furnishing correct address, which have yet not been taken, despite order, within two weeks.

List all the matters again on 19.3.2015.

(SURAJIT DEY)  
Registrar