

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL) NO. 844 OF 2010

SURBHIPETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

SANDEEP AGGARWALRESPONDENT(S)

O R D E R

On hearing counsel for the parties, we are satisfied that the petitioner's prayer for transfer of the case from Meerut (U.P.) to Yamuna Nagar at Jagadhri in Haryana deserves to be allowed.

We, accordingly, direct that petition No. 266 of 2010 titled 'Sandeep Aggarwal v. Surbhi' pending before the Family Court, Meerut (U.P.) be transferred to the Court of District Judge, Yamuna Nagar at Jagadhri, Haryana, who would assign it to an appropriate court competent to try the matter.

The Transfer Petition is allowed.

.....J
(AFTAB ALAM)

.....J
(R.M. LODHA)

NEW DELHI,
NOVEMBER 22, 2010
ITEM NO.54

COURT NO.12

SECTION XVIA

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

TRANSFER PETITION (CIVIL.) NO(s). 844 OF 2010

SURBHI Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SANDEEP AGGARWAL Respondent(s)

(With office report)

Date: 22/11/2010 This Petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AFTAB ALAM
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.M. LODHA

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Adarsh Ganesh, Adv.
for Dr. Kailash Chand, Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. R.P. Kaushik, Adv.
Mr. Om Prakash Mishra, Adv.
for Mr. Ghan Shyam Vasisht, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

The Transfer Petition is allowed.

(N.S.K. Kamesh)
Court Master

(S.S.R. Krishna)
Court Master

(signed order is placed on the file)