

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Writ Petition(s)(Criminal) No(s). 310 OF 2005

BHIM SINGH

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(with appln. (s) for directions and further direction and impleadment and office report)

WITH

W.P.(Crl.) No. 175/2005
(With for Office Report)

Date : 01/08/2014 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KURIAN JOSEPH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ROHINTON FALI NARIMAN

For Petitioner(s)

Prof. Bhim Singh, Petitioner-in-Person
Mr. P. V. Yogeswaran, Adv.

For Respondent(s)
UOI

Mr. Mukul Rohatgi, Attorney General
Mr. N.K. Kaul, ASG
Ms. Indra Sawhney, Adv.
Ms. Asha G. Nair, Adv.
Ms. Sunita Rani Singh, Adv.
Mr. Chetan Chawla, Adv.
Ms. Charul Sarin, Adv.
Ms. Atreyi Chatterjee, Adv.
Ms. Sushma Suri, Adv.

Mr. Colin Gonsalvis, Sr. Adv.

Signature Not Verified

Mr. Divya Jyoti Jaipurkar, Adv.

Digitally signed by
NEETU KHAJURIA
Date: 2014.08.01

For Ms. Jyoti Mendiratta, Adv.

18:06:51 IST
Reason:

1

NCT of Delhi

Mr. S. Wasim A. Qadri, Adv.
Mr. P.K. Dey, Adv.
Mr. Shadman, Adv.
Mr. D.S. Mahra, Adv.
Mr. Vikas Bansal, Adv.

U.P.State

Mr. Pawan Shree Agarwal, Adv.
Mr. Utkarsh Sharma, Adv.
Ms. Pragati Neekhara, Adv.

Mr. Anil K. Jha, Adv.

Mr. Ardhendumauli Kumar Prasad, Adv.

Mr. Arun K. Sinha, Adv.
Mr. Javed Mahmud Rao, Adv.
Ms. Archana Pathak Dave, Adv.
Mr. Milind Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Pradeep Misra, Adv.
Mr. Praveen Swarup, Adv.
Mr. Ratan Kumar Choudhuri, Adv.
Mrs. Anil Katiyar, Adv.
Mr. Satish Vig, Adv.
Mr. Sunil Fernandes, Adv.
Mr. Vipin Kumar Jai, Adv.
Ms. Kamini Jaiswal, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

In the course of hearing, Professor Bhim Singh, appearing in-person, invited our attention to the order dated 10.11.2008, wherein the Court after

2

noting the pending trials of prisoners from foreign country directed that the Courts conducting those trials shall ensure that the trials are concluded within a period of one year from the date of receipt of the copy of the order. The Court further directed that all concerned shall co-operate the Courts in deciding the cases within the time schedule.

Professor Bhim Singh submits that in none of the cases referred to in the order dated 10.11.2008, trial has been concluded, although about five years and nine months have passed.

Confronted with this problem, we wanted to know from Mr. Mukul Rohatgi, learned Attorney General, whether Government of India is contemplating fast tracking criminal justice and if so, whether any policy has been framed and steps

taken in this regard. Fast tracking of few categories of criminal cases without creation of additional courts and infrastructure creates more burden on the category of cases left out from fast tracking. For good governance, it is necessary that

Courts are strengthened and ³ criminal justice is fast-tracked. It is high time that the Central Government takes positive steps in consultation with the State Governments in fast tracking all types of criminal cases so that criminal justice is delivered timely and expeditiously.

Mr. Mukul Rohatgi, learned Attorney General, assures us that he will have a comprehensive look at the problem and come out with a concrete proposal within four weeks.

List these matters on 5th September, 2014.

(Neetu Khajuria)
Sr.P.A.

(Renu Diwan)
Court Master