

S U P R E M E                      C O U R T   O F   I N D I A  
R E C O R D   O F   P R O C E E D I N G S

IA 2-5/2009 in  
Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal Civil)...../2009  
CC 12900-12903/2009

TECHNOLOGY DEVT.BOARD

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

JUGAL KISHORE ARORA &amp; ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for clarification/modification of court's order)

Date: 20/11/2009                      These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.V. RAVEENDRAN  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.S. RADHAKRISHNAN

For Petitioner(s)                      Mr.     Lalit Bhasin, Adv.  
   Ms.     Nina Gupta, Adv.  
   Mr.     Mudit Sharma, Adv.  
   Ms.     Ishita Sehgal, Adv.  
   Mr.     Kartik Yadav, Adv.  
   Ms.     Bina Gupta, Adv.

For Respondent(s)                      Mr. G.L. Rawal, Sr. Adv.  
   Mr. Rajneesh Sharma, Adv.  
   Mr. Ashwani Kumar, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

The above SLPs came up for preliminary hearing on  
31.8.2009 along with SLP(C) Nos....CC 12689-12692/2009.

Those SLPs were rejected with an observation that  
if the petitioners therein wanted relief against the  
property which was the subject matter fo the decree for  
specific performance, it was open to the petitioner  
therein to implead the purchaser and establish its  
rights, if any, and that its non-impleadment in the  
second appeal will not come in its way.

....2.

- 2 -

ITEM NO.1

COURT NO.5

DATED 20.11.2009

....contd.

These SLPs were dismissed as permission was  
refused to petitioner to file SLPs. The petitioners have  
filed these applications stating that these SLPs were  
also dismissed on the same terms as in SLP(C) Nos....CC  
12689-12692/2009 but the order does not indicate the  
observation made with reference to those petitions will  
apply to these petitions also.

We make it clear that it is open to the  
petitioners herein also to take such action as is  
available in law against the purchaser of the property,  
though it were not impleaded as party in the second

appeal.

I.A. Nos.2-5, accordingly, stand disposed of.

( Ravi P. Verma )  
Court Master

( M.S. Negi )  
Court Master