

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

I N D I A

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR M K HANJURA

Civil Appeal No(s). 8387/2011

CHINNA TAMBI Appellant(s)

VERSUS

BAJAJ ALLIANZ GEN.INS.CO.LD.& ANR Respondent(s)

Date : 06/05/2015 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

For Appellant(s) Mr. V. N. Raghupathy,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Ms.Priyadarshi Gopal,adv.  
M/s. Law Associates,Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

The office report is that Ld.counsel for the appellant and the Ld.counsel for the respondent No.1 have failed to file the statement of case, although they have been notified to do so by letter dated 24.08.2012 of this Registry. Service of notice is complete on the respondent No.2, but no one has entered appearance on his behalf. Order XIX Rule 32 of the Supreme Court Rules,2013 provides that if the appellant does not file a statement of case within the time, as provided for in sub rule (1), it shall be presumed that the appellant has adopted the list of dates/synopsis containing chronology of events as filed at the time of presentation of petition for seeking special leave to

Signature Not Verified

appeal(SLP)/appeal, as statement of case,and does not desire to  
Digitally signed by  
Sushma Kumari Bajaj  
Date: 2015.05.07

file any further  
15:44:25 IST

Reason: statement of case. The order further provides that if the respondent has entered appearance and does not file a

..2  
ITEM NO.104

statement of case within the time, as provided in Sub Rule(1) (i.e. 35 days) it shall be presumed that he does not desire to lodge the same.

In view of the rule position cited above, the matter shall

be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Court under the rules.

(M K HANJURA)  
Registrar

SB