

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

I N D I A

BEFORE THE REGISTRAR M K HANJURA

Civil Appeal No(s). 8104/2011

STATE OF KERALA & ANR.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

THITHUTTY & ORS.

Respondent(s)

WITH

C.A. No. 8214/2011  
(With C.A. No. 8483/2011  
(With Office Report)

Date : 15/10/2014 This appeal was called on for hearing today.

For Appellant(s)

Mr. P. V. Dinesh,Adv.  
Mr.M.F.Philip,adv.  
Ms. Liz Mathew,Adv.  
Mr. G. Prakash,Adv.

For Respondent(s)

Mr. E. M. S. Anam,Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

What gets revealed from the perusal of the file is that  
neither the appellant nor the respondents have filed the statement  
of case, although they have been notified to do so by notice dated  
13.07.2013 of the Registry. Order XIX Rule 32 of the

Supreme C

ourt

Rules,2013 provides that if the appellant does not fi

le

a  
statement of case within the time, as provided for in sub rule (1),  
it shall be presumed that the appellant has adopted the list of

Signature Not Verified

dates/synopsis containing chronology of events as filed at the time

Digitally signed by

Sushma Kumari Bajaj

Date: 2014.10.17

of presentation of petition

10:50:37 IST

Reason: for seeking special leave to appea

l

.....2

(SLP)/appeal, as statement of case,and does not desire to file any  
further statement of case. The order further provides that if the  
respondent has entered appearance and does not file a statement of  
case within the time, as provided in Sub Rule(1) (i.e. 35 days) it  
shall be presumed that he does not desire to lodge the same.

In view of the rule position cited above no further opportunity for filing the statement of case is warranted to be given to the parties. Viewed thus, the matter shall be processed for listing before the Hon'ble Court under the rules.

(M K HANJURA)  
Registrar

SB