

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Writ Petition(s)(Civil) No(s). 270/2010

HOCKEY INDIA Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS. Respondent(s)
(With appln. (s) for stay and impleadment and directions)

WITH
C.A. No. 3225/2011
(With Office Report)

W.P.(C) No. 363/2014
(With appln.(s) for deletion of the name of respondent and appln.
(s) for permission to file synopsis and list of dates and appln.(s)
for stay and Office Report)

W.P.(C) No. 785/2014
(With appln.(s) for exemption from filing O.T. and appln.(s) for
interim relief and appln.(s) for stay and Office Report)

Date : 19/02/2015 These petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RANJAN GOGOI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAFULLA C. PANT

For Petitioner(s)

Mr. Siddharth Bhatnagar, Adv.
Ms. Sonia Dube, Adv.
Mr. S. Chakraborty, Adv.
Ms. Asnkita Gutpa, Adv.
For M/s. Legal Options, Adv.

Mr. Ashok Desai, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Shyel Trehan, Adv.
Ms. Manjira Dasgupta, Adv.
Mr. Vikas Mehta, Adv.

Mr. S. N. Bhat, Adv.

For Respondent(s)
Signature Not Verified

Digitally signed by
Madhu Bala
Date: 2015.02.21

12:41:59 IST
Reason:

Mr. M.P. Gupta, Adv.

Mr. R.S. Nagar, Adv.

Mr. D.S. Mahra, Adv.

...2/-

-2-

Mr. Balaji Srinivasan, Adv.
Mr. Mayank Kshirsagar, Adv.
Ms. Shristi Gouil, adv.
Ms. Vaishali Dixit, Adv.
Ms. Vaishnami Subramanyam, Adv.

Mr. Amit Sibal, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Ferida S. Adv.
Mr. A. Venayagam Balan, Adv,

Ms. V.S. Lakshmi, Adv.

Mr. Ashwani Kumar, Adv.

Ms. Liz Mathew, Adv.

Mr. M.F. Philip, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Interlocutory applications are dismissed.

Writ Petition Nos. 363/2014 and W.P.(C) No.785 of 2014

We are not inclined to entertain these writ petitions under Article 32 of the Constitution of India as in our considered view, the matter is not appropriate for adjudication in exercise of the high prerogative jurisdiction of this Court. The writ petitions are therefore dismissed.

However, we have made it clear that we have not expressed any opinion on the merits of the contentions raised in the writ petitions.

Writ Petition No. 270 of 2010

Mr. Ashok Desai, learned senior counsel states that nothing survives for adjudication. The writ petition is dismissed as having become infructuous.

....3/-

-3-

Civil Appeal No. 3225 of 2011

The civil appeal is dismissed as having become infructuous.

(MADHU BALA)
COURT MASTER

(ASHA SONI)
COURT MASTER

(Signed order in C.A. No. 3225 of 2011 is placed on the file.)
IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO.3225 OF 2011

HOCKEY INDIA

....APPELLANT(S)

VERSUS

...RESPONDENT(S)

INDIAN HOCKEY FEDERATION & ORS.

O R D E R

Mr. Ashok Desai, learned senior counsel states

that nothing survives for adjudication. The civil
appeal is dismissed as having become infructuous.

.....J.
[RANJAN GOGOI]

NEW DELHI
19TH FEBRUARY, 2015

.....J.
[PRAFULLA C PANT]