

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No(s).11487/2003

(From the judgement and order dated 05/09/2002 in RA No. 185/2002 in CWP No.10774/1999 of The HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH)

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

RULDU RAM AND ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing SLP and prayer for interim relief and office report)

WITH SLP(C) NO. 12879-12881 of 2003

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing SLP and c/delay in filing Affidavit of Dasti Service and office report)

SLP(C) NO. 3293 of 2004

(With appln(s) for c/delay in filing SLP and prayer for interim relief and office report)

Date: 22/03/2010 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AFTAB ALAM
HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE B.S. CHAUHAN

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Manjit Singh, AAG
Mr. Kamal Mohan Gupta, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Dr. Ramesh K. Haritash, Adv.
Mr. Sanjay K. Hadala, Adv.
Dr. Kailash Chand, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

This matter relates to regularisation in service of some class IV employees. The order of regularisation was passed by the High Court on 4.2.2000 in Writ Petition No.10774 of 1999. Later on, in a similar case, the High Court directed the State Government to constitute a committee to consider the regularisation of employees similarly situated. In the light of the later order of the High Court, a petition was

- 2 -

filed for review of the order dated 4.2.2000 in Writ Petition No.10774 of 1999. The High Court dismissed the Review Petition by order dated 5.9.2002, taking into consideration also the fact that the Special Leave Petition against its order of 4.2.2000 was dismissed by this Court.

Against the order dismissing the Review Petition, this Special leave Petition was filed in which notice was directed to be issued on 8.7.2003. From the record, it nowhere appears that there was any stay of the order of the High Court passed in the year 2000. This matter has remained pending before this Court for the past seven years and the order sheets disclose that on a number of occasions, the matter was adjourned at the instance of the State. During this period, some of the respondents have died and substitutions petition is filed to bring on record their heirs and legal representatives.

Even if we disregard the delay in filing the Special Leave Petitions (which are yet to be condoned), in our considered view, the way this matter is lingering on, it has lost all relevance and no useful purpose will be served by

carrying it on any further.

The Special Leave Petitions are accordingly dismissed.

(Neetu Sachdeva)
Sr. P. A.

(R. K. Sharma)
Court Master