

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO.404 OF 2008

ARCHBISHOP RAPHAEL CHEENATH S.V.D.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF ORISSA & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for impleadment, directions, intervention and office report)

With W.P. (C) No.76 of 2009

(With appln(s) for interim directions and office report)

S.L.P. (C) No.5724 of 2009

(With appln(s) for permission to place additional documents on record, prayer for interim relief and office report)

W.P. (C) No.396 of 2009

(With office report)

Date: 05/07/2010 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

- HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.S. RADHAKRISHNAN
- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SWATANTER KUMAR

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Vipin M. Benjamin,Adv.
 In WP 404/08: Ms. Jyoti Mendiratta,Adv.
 Mr. Divya Jyoti,Adv.

In WP 76/09: Mr. Romy Chacko,Adv.

In SLP 5724/09: Ms. Aparna Bhat,Adv.
 Mr. P. Ramesh Kumar,Adv.

In WP 396/09: Mr. Naresh Kumar,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. K.K. Venugopal,Sr.Adv.
 Mr. Janaranjan Das,Adv.
 Mr. Swetaketu Mishra,Adv.
 Mr. P.P. Nayak,Adv.
 Mr. R. Mohan,Adv.

Mr. Gopal Subramaniam,SG
 Mr. P.K. Dey,Adv.
 Mr. D. Mohta,Adv.
 Mr. S.N. Terdal,Adv.
 Ms. Sushma Suri,Adv.

- 2 -

Mr. P.S. Narasimha,Sr.Adv.
 Mr. Merusagar Samantaray,Adv.
 Mr. Vikramjit Banarjee,Adv.
 Mr. D. Bharat Kumar,Adv.

Mr. B. Krishna Prasad,Adv.

Mr. Shreekant N. Terdal,Adv.

In-person (N/P)

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

W.P. (C) No.404 of 2008, W.P. (C) No.76 of 2009 and
W.P. (C) No.396 of 2009:

Issue rule nisi.

The interlocutory applications be taken up
for hearing along with the main matter.

S.L.P. (C) No.5724 of 2009:

Vide order dated 15th January, 2009, in Writ
Petition (C) No.428 of 2009, the High Court has
observed that the writ petition is allowed to be
withdrawn with liberty to the aggrieved persons to
file writ petitions individually.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioner states that the impugned order contains
mistake as the petitioner had not withdrawn the
writ petition. In the circumstances, we direct the
petitioner to move the High Court for
rectification. Accordingly, the special leave
petition stands disposed of.

[Alka Dudeja]
A.R.-cum-P.S.

[Madhu Saxena]
Assistant Registrar

[N.B.: The appearance slip of Applicant not given]