

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil) No.21858/2003

(From the judgement and order dated 03/06/2003 in CR 2816/03  
of The HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH)

SHASHI BHUSHAN

Petitioner (s)

VERSUS

M/S. ANSAL PROPERTIES &amp; IND.PVT.LTD.&amp;ORS

Respondent (s)

(With prayer for interim relief)

WITH

SLP(C) No.22845/2003

(With prayer for interim relief)

Date : 12/04/2004 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR  
HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE AR. LAKSHMANANFor Petitioner (s)Mr. Nidhesh Gupta,Adv.  
Mr. Naveen Singh,Adv.

Ms. S. Janani,Adv.

For Respondent (s)Mr. V.R. Reddy, Sr.Adv.

Mr. R.S. Suri,Adv.

Mr. Krishan Mahajan,Adv.

Mr. Chandra Bhushan Prasad,Adv.

Mrs. Gurvinder Suri,Adv.

Ms. Anu Mohla,Adv.

Mr. Jana Kalyan Das,Adv.

Mr. Sanjay K. Gupta,Adv.

Mr. Amit Kumar,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

## O R D E R

We have heard the learned counsel for the parties. In our view, the directions given by the High Court in the operative part of the impugned order fully protect the interest of the petitioner. We see no reason to interfere with the impugned order of the High Court. The special leave petitions are, therefore, dismissed. ....2/-

-2-

Learned counsel for the petitioner has drawn our attention to the observations contained in the impugned order to the effect that respondent Nos.2 to 12 are bona fide purchasers of the plots. He submits that these observations have been made without hearing the petitioner. The trial of the suit is pending and such observations are likely to prejudice the interest of the petitioner. In view of this submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, it is made clear that these observations made by the High Court will not come in the way of final dec

ision of the suit.

(A.S. BISHT) (S. MALKANI)  
COURT MASTER

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR