



area (chain Nos. 64 to 71) of Vyasanakere Village. The respondent filed another contempt petition alleging violation of the order dated 25.3.2009, against the Appellant. In the second contempt petition High Court passed the impugned order dated 1.7.2009 recording a finding of prima facie contempt and directed Indian Bureau of Mines and Surveyor General of India, to survey the area in question.

Aggrieved by the said order, the respondent has filed these appeals under Section 19 of Contempt of Court Act. We find no reason to interfere.

:2:

...2/-

The appellant voices an apprehension that the direction in para 9 of the impugned judgment relating to survey of the area (chain 64 to chain 71) may virtually render the writ petition filed by her infructuous. The appellant also submits that the said direction for survey was not warranted in the contempt proceedings. The said interim order made in the contempt proceedings will not however prejudice the rights and contentions of the appellant in her pending writ petition. Therefore there is no basis for her apprehension.

Therefore the civil appeals are dismissed.

.....J.  
( R.V. RAVEENDRAN )

.....J.  
( B. SUDERSHAN REDDY )

NEW DELHI,  
SEPTEMBER 04, 2009.