

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Civil)...../2011
CC 13881-13884/2011

(From the judgement and order dated 30/04/2011 in WP
No.8346/2000,WA No.1802/2005,WA No.1817/2005,WA No.731/200 of The
HIGH COURT OF A.P AT HYDERABAD)

PULLUGORU GOPALA REDDY & ORS. ETC. Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

GOVT.OF A.P.& ORS. ETC. Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for substitution,permission to file SLP,c/delay in
filing substitution appln. and prayer for interim relief)

Date: 26/09/2011 These Petitions were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P. SATHASIVAM
HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE B.S. CHAUHAN

For Petitioner(s) Mr. R. Sundaravardhan, Sr.Adv.
Mr. K.V. Viswanathan, Sr.Adv.
Mr. P.B. Suresh, Adv.
Mr. Vipin Nair, Adv.
Mr. Vivek Sharma, Adv.
M/S. Temple Law Firm, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. C. Kannan, Adv.
Mr. Ravi Shankar, Adv.
Mr. G.N.Reddy , Adv.

Ms. N. Shoba, Adv.

(Not a party) Mr. K.L. Sastry, Adv.
Mr. Amit Kr.Srivastava, Adv.
Mr. R.V. Kameshwaran, Adv.

....2/-

-2-

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

Permission to file special leave petitions is granted.

Delay in filing the application for bring on record the Lrs of the petitioner nos. 2(i) to 2(iv) is condoned and I.As for substitution are allowed.

Learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that many documents in the form of revenue records including field map relating to the area in question were not considered by the Division Bench. If that is so, the proper remedy for the petitioners is to move the Division Bench of the High Court by way of review. On this ground, the special leave petitions are disposed of with liberty to the petitioners to move the High Court. It is also made clear that we have not expressed anything on merits of the claim made by both parties.

[Madhu Bala]
Sr.PA

[Savita Sainani]
Court Master